ANCIENT CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS,

EDITED BY THE REV W. LUCAS COLLINS, M.A.

In 20 vols, price 2s 6d each, in cloth (sold separately) or bound in 10 vols, with calf or vellum back, for £2, 10s

CONTENTS

HOMER THE ILIAD By the Editor
HOMER THE ODYSSEY By the Editor
HERODOTUS By G C Swayne, M A
XENOPHON By Sir Alexander Grant, Bart
EURIPIDES By W B Donne
ARISTOPHANES By the Editor
PLATO By C W Collins, M A
LUCIAN By the Editor
ÆSCHYLUS By Reginald S Copleston, M A (now Bishop of Colombo)
SOPHOCLES By Clifton W Collins, M.A.
HESIOD AND THEOGNIS By the Rev J Davies, M A
GREEK ANTHOLOGY By Lord Neaves
VIRGIL By the Editor
HORACE By Theodore Martin
JUVENAL By Edward Walford, M A
PLAUTUS AND TERENCE By the Editor
THE COMMENTARIES OF CÆSAR By Anthony Trollope
TACITUS By W B Donne
CICERO By the Editor
PLINY'S LETTERS By the Rev Alfred Church, M A., and the Rev W J Brodribb, M A

"It is difficult to estimate too highly the value of such a series as this in giving 'English readers' an insight, exact as far as it goes, into those olden times which are so remote and yet to many of us so close"—*Saturday Review*

"It is impossible to praise too highly the conception and execution of this series of the Classics They are a kind of 'Bibliotheca Classicorum' for unlearned readers, but executed by men of the most accomplished scholarship, and therefore conveying the very colour and tone of the authors They will be as pleasant to scholars as they are valuable to those who know only their mother tongue"—*British Quarterly Review*

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD & SONS, EDINBURGH AND LONDON

SUPPLEMENTAL SERIES.

ANCIENT CLASSICS

FOR

ENGLISH READERS.

EDITED BY THE REV. W. LUCAS COLLINS, M.A.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE marked success and general popularity of the Series of "ANCIENT CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS," lately concluded in twenty volumes, has been accompanied by some regrets, expressed both by the friendly critics of the press and in private quarters, at its not having been made somewhat more comprehensive.

This has induced us to undertake the issue of a "Supplementary" Series, intended to comprise the works of some few Latin and Greek authors which, for various reasons, were not included in the original plan.

It is hoped that the whole collection will thus supply, in a popular and convenient form, such a view of the literature of Ancient Greece and Rome as may give a fair idea of its subject-matter and its spirit to those who have not leisure to study it in the originals, and at the same time serve as an introduction to such study, where facilities for it can be enjoyed.

This Series will appear, like the preceding, in quarterly volumes, at half-a-crown each, and in the same size and type. It will not be extended beyond eight or ten such volumes. These will include the works of ARISTOTLE, THUCYDIDES, DEMOSTHENES, LIVY, LUCRETIUS, OVID, CATULLUS (with TIBULLUS and PROPERTIUS), ANACREON, PINDAR, &c.

The volumes now published contain :—

1. LIVY. By the EDITOR.
2. OVID. By the Rev. ALFRED CHURCH, M.A.
3. CATULLUS, TIBULLUS, AND PROPERTIUS. By the Rev. JAMES DAVIES, M.A.
4. DEMOSTHENES. By the Rev. W. J. BRODRIBB.

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD & SONS, EDINBURGH AND LONDON.

PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION

UNIFORM WITH

ANCIENT CLASSICS FOR ENGLISH READERS

FOREIGN CLASSICS

FOR

ENGLISH READERS.

EDITED BY

MRS OLIPHANT

PROSPECTUS

THE cordial reception given by the public to the Series of "Ancient Classics for English Readers" has confirmed the intention of the Publishers to carry out a kindred Series, which it is believed will not be less useful or less welcome, and in which an attempt will be made to introduce the great writers of Europe in a similar manner to the many readers who probably have a perfect acquaintance with their names, without much knowledge of their works, or their place in the literature of the modern world The Classics of Italy, France, Germany, and Spain are nearer to us in time, and less separated in sentiment, than the still more famous Classics of antiquity, and if foreign travel is, as everybody allows, a great means of enlarging the mind, and dispersing its prejudices, an acquaintance with those works in which the great nations who are our neighbours have expressed their highest life, and by which their manners of thinking have bee formed, cannot but possess equal advantages A man who would profess to know England without knowing something of Shakespeare, Bacon, Milton, and the great writers who have followed them, could form but an imperfect idea of the national mind and its capabilities and so no amount of travel can make us acquainted with Italy, while

Dante, Tasso, and her great historians remain unknown to us, nor can the upheavings of French society and the mental characteristics of the nation be comprehended without Voltaire, Molière, Rousseau, and other great names beside Neither is Germany herself without Goethe and Schiller nor Spain recognisable deprived of that noble figure of Cervantes, in whom lives the very genius of the nation This great band it is our design to give such an account of as may bring them within the acquaintance of the English reader, whose zeal may not carry him the length of the often thankless study of translations, and whose readings in a foreign language are not easy enough to be pleasant We are aware that there are difficulties in our way in this attempt which did not lie in the path of the former Series, since in the section of the world for which we write there are many more readers of French and German than of Greek and Latin but, on the other hand, there is no educated class supremely devoted to the study of Continental Classics, as is the case in respect to the Ancient, and even the greatest authority in the learned matter of a Greek text might be puzzled by Jean Paul Richter, or lose himself in the mysteries of Dante's 'Paradiso' The audience to which we aspire is, therefore, at once wider and narrower than that to which the great treasures of Hellenic and Roman literature are unfamiliar, and our effort will be to present the great Italian, the great Frenchman, the famous German, to the reader so as to make it plain to him what and how they wrote, something of how they lived, and more or less of their position and influence upon the literature of their country

The assistance of writers distinguished in the various walks of literature has been secured, which leaves no doubt as to the efficient carrying out of the scheme Details of future volumes will be given in the beginning of the ensuing year, when the Series will commence with an account of DANTE and his works, by the Editor

---

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD & SONS, EDINBURGH AND LONDON

Ancient Classics for English Readers

EDITED BY THE

REV W LUCAS COLLINS, M A.

(*SUPPLEMENTARY SERIES*)

DEMOSTHENES

The Volumes published of this Series contain

HOMER: THE ILIAD, by the Editor.
HOMER: THE ODYSSEY, by the Same.
HERODOTUS, by George C. Swayne, M.A.
CÆSAR, by Anthony Trollope.
VIRGIL, by the Editor.
HORACE, by Theodore Martin.
ÆSCHYLUS, by Reginald S. Copleston, M.A.
XENOPHON, by Sir Alex. Grant, Bart., LL.D.
CICERO, by the Editor.
SOPHOCLES, by Clifton W. Collins, M.A.
PLINY, by A. Church, M.A., and W. J. Brodribb, M.A.
EURIPIDES, by William Bodham Donne.
JUVENAL, by Edward Walford, M.A.
ARISTOPHANES, by the Editor.
HESIOD AND THEOGNIS, by James Davies, M.A.
PLAUTUS AND TERENCE, by the Editor.
TACITUS, by William Bodham Donne.
LUCIAN, by the Editor.
PLATO, by Clifton W. Collins.
THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY, by Lord Neaves.

SUPPLEMENTARY SERIES.

The Volumes now published contain

1. LIVY, by the Rev. W. Lucas Collins, M.A.
2. OVID, by the Rev. A. Church, M.A.
3. CATULLUS, TIBULLUS, & PROPERTIUS, by the Rev. James Davies, M.A.
4. DEMOSTHENES, by the Rev. W. J. Brodribb, M.A.

Other Volumes are in preparation.

DEMOSTHENES

BY THE

REV W J BRODRIBB

LATE FELLOW OF ST JOHN'S COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS
EDINBURGH AND LONDON
MDCCCLXXVII

NOTE.

For the extracts from the speeches of Demosthenes given in this volume I am to a considerable extent indebted to the scholarly version of the late Mr C. R. Kennedy. For the famous speech on the Crown, I have made occasional use of a translation recently published by an eminent English lawyer, the Right Hon Sir Robert Collier. The same speech was, some years ago, translated by Mr William Brandt, a scholar of Oriel College, Oxford, whose premature death cut short a life of great promise. His rendering of the Greek orator is spirited and vigorous, and I wish to express my obligations to it.

W. J. B.

CONTENTS.

DEMOSTHENES.

INTRODUCTION

The familiar names of Demosthenes and Cicero will always be linked together. They are specially representative names. The eloquence of the ancient world seems to be summed up in them. There is a further reason why we should think of them together. Both attached themselves to a falling cause; both had to go into exile; both had the satisfaction of being welcomed back from exile; both, finally, when all was lost, were willing to die rather than survive their country's disgrace. There is, indeed, a striking resemblance between the lives and fortunes of the two men, and none of Plutarch's parallels is more appropriate than that in which he has compared them.

The best and noblest eloquence must be the product of earnest political conviction. Cicero clung to the traditions of the old republic, and regarded the concentration of power in one man as equivalent to his country's degradation and fall. The Greek statesman

could not imagine a worse calamity than that Greece should cease to exist as an aggregate of free, self-governing communities, and become a dependency on a foreign kingdom or empire We cannot but sympathise with such a sentiment It was a noble one, though at the time it may have been becoming more and more incapable of realisation, as indeed was the sincere belief of some perfectly honest men who were politically opposed to Demosthenes The highest aspects of Greek life, and its best influences on the civilisation of the world, were intimately connected with Greece as existing according to his conception of what she ought to be. His eloquence is at its highest when he dwells on her fixed resolution in past days to resist to the death anything like foreign dictation or interference. Greece, in his view, was nothing if she once brought herself to endure it

On the whole, perhaps the Greek was rather a greater figure than the Roman orator He was at least more single-minded and courageous His political career was more dignified and consistent, and there were fewer weak moments in his life Cicero, it is true, was a singularly amiable and a most accomplished man, but he was unquestionably vain and self-complacent. Demosthenes gives us the idea that Athens and Greece were always foremost in his thoughts As an orator and statesman he may claim to rank above Cicero As an orator, he was the master of a more fervid and impressive eloquence; as a statesman, he had more simplicity of purpose and greater moral courage

The period of Demosthenes is the fourth century B C A brief sketch of it seems almost due to our readers The speeches of Demosthenes cannot be understood without some acquaintance with Greek politics Macedon, too, and its rise to importance under king Philip, deserves at least a short notice The history of the time is somewhat intricate, and could not be thoroughly elucidated in a very moderate compass An endeavour has been made in the two following chapters to present the reader with a view of its general character.

CHAPTER I.

GREECE IN THE FOURTH CENTURY B C

ATHENS in the fifth century B C was at the head of the Greek world. Her empire, like our own, was a "government of dependencies" In its nature it was somewhat precarious. Although it was not specially oppressive, it was in many quarters an object of extreme jealousy When Athens attempted the conquest of Sicily, it was felt that this was but a step towards ulterior and more dangerous designs It was a most hazardous attempt, under existing circumstances On the sea, indeed, Athens was all-powerful, but she had formidable enemies on land very near her—Thebes to the north, Sparta to the south After her great reverse in Sicily, she was hardly a match for Sparta at the head of the Peloponnese She still struggled on, and even won some victories, till the long contest, known as the Peloponnesian War, came to an end in 405 B C with the decisive battle of Ægos-potami. There, in the waters of the Hellespont, almost her entire fleet was captured by the Spartan admiral, Lysander

Sparta now succeeded to the headship of Greece She retained it down to the year 371 B C During this

period she contrived to make herself thoroughly hated. Her system was to rule by means of oligarchical factions in the different states. These factions she supported by military garrisons There was a garrison for a time in the Cadmea, or the citadel of Thebes It was forced into the city, and subsequently maintained there with a flagrant disregard of justice and equity The Spartan king Agesilaus coolly asserted that if it was for Sparta's interest it was right Altogether, the Spartan rule was much more galling than the Athenian had been Sparta, indeed, always seems to have been a more selfish state than Athens It is true that Athens in her greatness had been spoken of as "a despot city," but there was at the same time a feeling that she worthily represented Greece This could hardly be said of Sparta She was now cultivating friendly relations with Persia, and had procured the conclusion of a peace with that power, the terms of which were by no means honourable to Greece This was the peace of Antalcidas in 387 B C—one of the landmarks, so to say, in Greek history It had ever been a Greek tradition that the freedom and independence of the Greeks in Asia ought to be upheld By the peace of Antalcidas they were put under the dominion of Persia Athens would hardly have yielded such a point, and in the days of her maritime supremacy she could and would have made it impossible Sparta was responsible for this disgraceful concession She made matters worse by seeking to convert her headship of Greece into a downright despotism In doing this she wrought infinite mischief, and may be almost said to have pre-

territory Olynthus, indeed, to a certain extent recovered herself, and became again a flourishing and independent city, but the mischief which had been already done was past remedy.

With the great battle of Leuctra in 371 B C Sparta's ascendancy ceased Thebes was now raised by the illustrious Epameinondas into the first place in Greece North of the Peloponnese she could do as she pleased She had Thessaly quite under her control, and Macedon was little better than a dependency Her next step, after Leuctra, was to strengthen herself in the Peloponnese, and to complete the humiliation of Sparta This was done by the founding of the two cities Megalopolis and Messene, under the direction of Epameinondas Sparta, as we have seen, aimed at breaking up and dissolving federations, Thebes, on the contrary, formed the Arcadian townships, forty in number, into a confederacy, of which Megalopolis, the Great City, was made the centre Messene was then founded on Mount Ithome, and became the rallying-place of a population which had long been unwillingly subject to Sparta What had hitherto been Spartan territory was actually annexed to it Sparta's limits were thus greatly narrowed On the north and on the west she was confronted by independent communities, and her position in the Peloponnese was wellnigh destroyed Though Thebes soon fell back from the pre eminence to which the genius of Epameinondas had lifted her, Sparta was never able to regain her ancient *prestige*

Athens, from some cause or other, had much more elasticity and power of recovery than Sparta There

was a life and sprightliness about her citizens which made them quickly forget calamities and rise to new hopes and aspirations. So it was with them after Leuctra Athens at once was fired with the ambition of winning back her old empire, and she actually succeeded in again becoming the head of a powerful confederacy. The disgust which Sparta had provoked throughout the Greek world was no doubt a great help to Athens Once more her fleet sailed supreme over the Ægean. As a matter of course, the chief islands joined her alliance. A synod of deputies from her allies and dependents obeyed her summons, and contributions were voted for the common cause She had able men—such as Timotheus, Iphicrates, and Chabrias—to command her forces At the time of Philip's accession to the throne of Macedon in 359 B C, Athens was the first state in Greece She was not specially well fitted for war on land, and was in this respect inferior to Thebes, which could send out an army in the highest efficiency But by sea she was, beyond comparison, the first power. Rhodes, Chios, Cos, and the important cities of Perinthus and Byzantium, were her allies Samos, off the coast of Lydia, and Thasos, Lemnos, Imbros in the north of the Ægean, had been recently conquered by her, she was in possession of the Thracian Chersonese, of Pydna and Methone on the coast of Macedon, and of Potidæa and other towns in the peninsula of Chalcidice The waters of the Ægean were thus an Athenian lake But she could not hold together this confederation She had no proper control over her generals. They were not in fact the servants

of the state, but men of the "Condottieri" type. As a rule, they commanded mercenaries, for whom they could not provide pay without systematically plundering the allies These generals really maintained their troops by means of "forced benevolences" It could hardly be expected that all this would be patiently endured In 358 B C the Social War, as it was termed, broke out—Rhodes and Byzantium, it would seem, leading the revolt It lasted two years The efforts of Athens appear to have been rather fitful and wanting in vigour. When a rumour came that Persia was about to support the revolted allies with a fleet of 300 ships, Athens gave up the struggle and acknowledged their independence The confederation, of which for a brief space she had been the head, was thus at an end

This was a great blow to Athens She was still powerful by sea, but she was very much impoverished, a large part of her revenue having been lost to her through the secession of several of her richest allies Was it not now best for her to rest from her ambition, and to think no more of "a spirited foreign policy"? So argued one of her citizens, the famous orator Isocrates He complains that his countrymen "were so infatuated that while they themselves wanted the means of subsistence they were undertaking to maintain mercenaries, and were maltreating their allies and levying tribute from them, in order that they might provide pay for the common enemies of mankind" By these he means the generals, of whom also Demosthenes, his political opponent, says, in one of his speeches, that "they go ranging about and behaving everywhere as the common

enemies of all who wish to live in freedom according to their own laws" Athens, he contends, might recover from the losses and disasters of the Social War, if she would only eschew for the future a meddling and aggressive policy, be prepared for self-defence, and devote herself to commerce and to the arts of peace In this way she would, with the great natural advantages she possessed, very soon again become rich and prosperous This was the advice of Isocrates It might well seem sensible and timely And, as a matter of fact, it suited the temper of many of the citizens There was a disposition to shrink from personal efforts, and, if war became a necessity, to leave it more and more to mercenaries In such a mood there were dangers, as the event proved, to the cause both of Athens and of Greece

A peace party was the natural result It was in power at Athens for some years after the conclusion of the Social war, the critical period during which Philip of Macedon was step by step advancing to the position he ultimately attained It had the advocacy of the speeches and pamphlets of Isocrates, who had the command, not undeservedly, of the public ear It was thus supported by the ablest journalism of the day Again, it had an eminently respectable man as one of its leaders This was Phocion, whose integrity was proverbial Forty-five times was he chosen general, and he gained several victories for Athens He was alone sufficient to give strength to a political party Another of its leaders was Eubulus, a man of very inferior type His great aim was to put the people in

a good humour There was a singular arrangement at Athens by which the State defrayed the cost of the public amusements and dramatic exhibitions for the benefit of the poor citizens A regular fund was provided for this purpose, and after a time the surplus of the annual public revenue was added to it It had formerly been the law that this surplus should always during war be paid into the military chest for the defence of the State Eubulus actually induced the people to pass a law making it a capital offence to propose that this fund should be so applied on any future occasion Consequently, the only method of meeting the costs of war was the exaction of a property tax from the rich War under these circumstances could not but involve very serious and sorely-felt sacrifices We may form some idea of the pressure of the burden by supposing the case of an income tax of 4s or 5s in the pound among ourselves No ministry, it is clear, could venture to declare war except under the most palpable necessity, if such a tax were inevitable Eubulus accordingly conciliated the rich by doing his utmost to save them from the dreaded burden He was, as we should say, prime minister of Athens for sixteen years His position must have been a very strong one, acceptable, as we have just seen, to rich and poor alike There can hardly be a doubt that his policy impaired the Athenian character, and made the work of Demosthenes peculiarly difficult

Athens thus entered on a great contest under unfavourable conditions She was still, from her extensive trade, the richest city in Greece, and she had the

means of sending out formidable fleets But her citizens liked ease and comfort, and preferred their cheerful city life to foreign service Her dominions, too, were rather vulnerable, not being guarded by any regular troops If they were attacked, they had to be defended by mercenaries, commanded by the sort of general who has been described. Then, too, her commerce, with which her prosperity was closely bound up, might be harassed by an enterprising enemy, and her supplies of corn from the Black Sea endangered Thus, in fighting Macedon she was perhaps at some disadvantage, though we may be inclined to think that a little more energy and vigour would have carried her successfully through the struggle The truth is, she was not for a long time alive to the real danger, and was consequently remiss in seizing opportunities There was a party which urged alliance with Thebes But Thebes was more hateful to an average Athenian than Sparta had ever been Such a party seemed untrue to the old traditions of Athens Hence it was always comparatively weak. Had the danger from Macedon been distinctly foreseen, the alliance would perhaps have been effected Athens and Thebes united might, it can hardly be doubted, have confined Philip to his own hereditary kingdom and have saved Greece.

CHAPTER II

MACEDON AND PHILIP

THE name of Macedon, though it is heard of from time to time in Greek history, can hardly be said to have become really famous till the fourth century B C and the reign of Philip It could never have occurred to the mind of a Greek that this outlying northern kingdom might possibly one day be formidable to Greece and its freedom There were no signs pointing in this direction, and it may be fairly assumed that no political sagacity could have foreseen such a result The Macedonians were always looked upon by the Greeks as barbarians, although their royal family—Temenids, as they were called, from their legendary ancestor, Temenus—came from Argos, and the people themselves perhaps had some distant affinity to the Hellenic race For a long period they were nothing better than a collection of rude tribes, with scarcely any cohesion or organisation, and before the disciplined army of a Greek state they would have been utterly powerless They were surrounded, too, by fierce and unquiet neighbours—Illyrians to the west, Pæonians to the north, Thracians to the east,—all savage, warlike peoples, whom they could

only just hold in check. The country, indeed, with its rivers and rich valleys and strips of seaboard, had natural advantages which a vigorous prince with organising capacity might develop, and this was partially done by Archelaus, who reigned from 413 B.C. to 399. He was a man of great energy, and he may be said to have put Macedon in the way to become a flourishing and powerful kingdom. According to Thucydides,* he had roads constructed, fortresses erected, and established a standing army on a greater scale than any of his predecessors had kept up. Probably the last years of the Peloponnesian war, which were so disastrous to Athens, were favourable to Macedon, and enabled it to acquire an influence on the northern coasts of the Ægean, which previously Athens had possessed. Still, no doubt Archelaus deserves the credit of having steadily applied himself to the work of strengthening and consolidating his kingdom. At the same time, he did his best to civilise his people, and to bring them into connection with the Greek world. He cultivated the friendship of Athens, and sought to introduce its literature and art. He established a grand periodical festival on the Greek type, with all the humanising adjuncts of music and poetry. The great poet Euripides visited his court at his special invitation, and was treated with such favour and respect that he remained there till his death. The philosopher Socrates was invited, but it appears that he declined the honour. The famous painter, Zeuxis of Heraclea, was one of the king's guests, and he was employed to adorn with pic-

* Thucydides, ii. 100.

tures the royal palace at Pella, the new capital of Macedonia In fact, Archelaus was an enlightened despot, and though he could not eradicate barbarism and make Macedonians into Greeks, he at least gave the higher class a varnish of Greek civilisation and culture

It was not unusual for the kings of Macedon to perish by the hands of conspirators and assassins, and this was the fate of Archelaus The dynasty was now changed, and after a few years of disturbance, Amyntas, the father of Philip, became king in 394 B C His reign was not a prosperous one. Macedonia went back, and its very existence as an independent kingdom was in jeopardy According to one account, Amyntas was obliged to surrender Philip as a hostage to the Illyrians, who were then particularly troublesome He left his kingdom at his death, in 370 B C, in an almost desperate plight The succession to the throne was disputed, and the enemies on the border were as formidable as ever Macedon, indeed, seemed on the eve of being wholly extinguished The eldest son and successor of Amyntas, Alexander, was murdered, and shortly afterwards the Theban Pelopidas was invited into the country by the friends of the royal family, with the view probably of securing the throne for the two younger brothers, Perdiccas and Philip Pelopidas, it seems, forced on Macedonia the adoption of this arrangement, and took Philip with him to Thebes, as a hostage for its being faithfully carried out Philip passed three years at Thebes, while his brother Perdiccas was king He then, in 368 B C, was intrusted

with the government of a portion of Macedonia under Perdiccas, and employed his time in equipping and organising some troops His brother's reign had a disastrous termination He was defeated with heavy loss by the Illyrians, and died soon afterwards And so Philip, now twenty-three years of age, became king of Macedon in 359 B C, there being only an infant son of Perdiccas whose claim to the throne it was not difficult, under the circumstances, to set aside with the national approval

No prince could have begun his reign with gloomier prospects than the future conqueror of Greece He was encompassed by enemies There were other claimants of the throne—one of these being Argæus who was supported by Athens He thus had to fear attack from barbarian neighbours by land, and from Athenian fleets by sea The hostile attitude of the Athenians was determined by their very prudent desire to recover the important position of Amphipolis at the mouth of the Strymon To Athens the possession of this place was of the utmost value, as it was the key to a region rich in gold and silver mines, as well as in forest-timber To this the people had an eye, in supporting the pretensions of Argæus to the throne of Macedon against Philip The king, however, met them promptly, and won a victory over a little force which they had sent to Methone on the Macedonian coast of the Gulf of Thermæ He took some Athenian citizens prisoners, but as he was anxious to conciliate Athens, he treated them with marked respect, and allowed them at once to return He then made peace with Athens, and

waived all claim to Amphipolis, in which his predecessor had placed a Macedonian garrison The city was now left to itself; and the Athenians, had they been wise, would have spared no effort to secure it As it was, they let slip a golden opportunity of regaining a position which might have been in their hands a barrier against the growing power of Macedon, and would have certainly enabled them to maintain their maritime supremacy on the Ægean

Philip meanwhile, having freed himself for the present from the fear of Athens, was at liberty to fence off his kingdom from the attacks of its land enemies He had already organised something of a military force, and with this he prepared to strike a decisive blow at the Illyrian, Pæonian, and Thracian tribes, which were perpetually crossing the Macedonian frontier in plundering expeditions It seems that these tribes, which were scattered over what are now the provinces of Bosnia, Servia, and Albania, were at this time being pushed southwards by a great movement of the Gauls The Illyrians were Macedon's most dangerous neighbours, and they had inflicted many a disastrous defeat on Philip's predecessors Now they were at the height of their power, and were united for purposes of war under a chief named Bardylis, an able leader and a brave warrior Philip, after thoroughly vanquishing the Pæonians, which he seems to have done easily, turned his arms against the more formidable Illyrians, and attacked them in western Macedonia, which they had invaded He won a hard-fought battle, chiefly through the efficiency of his cavalry The Illyrian

army was utterly discomfited, and their chief was glad to make peace, and cede whatever portions of Macedonia he had conquered and occupied The result of this victory was, that the Macedonian frontier was pushed to the lake Lychnitis (now Okridha), and was made far more secure than it had hitherto been, by the occupation of mountain-passes through which the Illyrian invaders used to pour into Macedonia

The famous phalanx, which we connect specially with the names of Macedon and Philip and Alexander, is said to have taken part in this battle Philip has been credited with this military invention, but, in truth, he can be said only to have introduced it He may have considerably modified it, but it had always been an important element in a Greek army. It was the great Epaminondas of Thebes who seems to have first organised it in its most powerful and effective form. He, in fact, it was who brought the science of war to the highest perfection hitherto known in Greece Philip, during his residence as a young man in Thebes, may well have had opportunities of personal intercourse with this illustrious general, and have derived from him many profitable hints and suggestions At all events, he had daily under his eyes the magnificent soldiers who had fought and conquered at Leuctra. His first military ideas were thus drawn from the best of all schools, and we may well suppose that a deep impression was at the same time made on his young imagination He would soon see that the barbarous enemies of Macedon would never be able to stand against really well-trained troops He had also at Thebes the literary and philosophical

teaching which often lays the foundation of able statesmanship Possibly he may have made the acquaintance of Plato, and there is certainly ground for believing that the philosopher conceived a high opinion of his ability Nor is it unlikely that he may also at this time have had his admiration directed by some circumstance to Aristotle, whom he afterwards made the tutor of the young Alexander It is certain that he became imbued with some amount of Greek culture, and that he acquired the power of speaking and writing the language almost as well as a professed orator or rhetorician He liked to look on himself, and to be regarded by others, as thoroughly a Greek, and this it was, no doubt, which inclined him to be always considerate towards Athens, as the foremost state of Greece Perhaps he was not too young, before he left Thebes, to imbibe some political notions In such a city he would at least have a good opportunity of getting an insight into the character of Greek politics, and he might have early learnt some of those weak points in Greece which his adroitness subsequently enabled him to turn to such profitable account

Philip, after his victories over the Illyrians and Pæonians, which for a time at least made Macedonia secure on the land side, still reigned over a poor and half-barbarous kingdom. He had much to do before he could hope to become a considerable power in the Greek world As yet, he did not possess a single town on the coast He had, as we have seen, given up Amphipolis to please the Athenians He must have been surprised to find that they did not make haste to

recover that important place But they committed the blunder, and allowed the people of Amphipolis to remain their own masters. Soon afterwards, in 358 B C, Philip thought he might as well possess himself of it, and when the inhabitants refused to surrender, he laid siege to the city Envoys were sent to Athens, asking for help, but it is possible that at this crisis the war with the allies had just begun, and that the Athenians may have thus found themselves fully occupied Philip, too, promised them in a very civil letter that he would put them in possession of it as soon as he had taken it The Athenians did nothing, though it could not have been very difficult for them to have saved the place and secured it for themselves This was indeed short-sighted, as they now again had an opportunity of securing a commanding position, and of nipping Philip's power in the bud It was one of those errors which can never be retrieved Athens lost *prestige*, as well as a most useful dependency. When Philip took the city, Olynthus, which was not far distant, and was at the head of a group of Greek townships in the peninsula of Chalcidice, was seriously alarmed, and proposed an alliance to Athens The offer was rejected, as the Athenians, it seems, still wished to look on Philip as their friend, and were persuaded to trust his promises The cunning prince contrived not only to buy off the hostility of Olynthus, but actually to win its friendship and to become its ally by the cession of a disputed strip of territory near Thessalonica The next thing he did was to venture on an openly hostile act against Athens by conquering and wresting from

her a most important possession, the city of Potidæa, on the gulf of Thermæ. Thus, too, he gave up to the Olynthians Pydna, also, on the shore of the same gulf, opposite to Potidæa, likewise an Athenian possession, fell into his hands through internal treachery, and Athens, it appears, made no effort to save the place Thus, in a single year, 358 B C, Philip gained three most valuable positions on the coast, and a severe shock was given to Athenian influence in the north of the Ægean He had hitherto been poor, now he had the means of raising an ample revenue Master of Amphipolis, he had free access to the gold region in the neighbourhood east of the Strymon Here he founded the city which we know by the familiar name of Philippi He had now a well-organised army, and he was able to maintain it In little more than two years he had immensely increased the strength and resources of his kingdom But it was not till six years afterwards that Macedon was felt to be a distinct menace to the Greek world.

CHAPTER III.

EARLY LIFE OF DEMOSTHENES

We cannot be quite certain about the year in which Demosthenes was born. The accounts are conflicting, and we are thrown back on somewhat doubtful inferences. The year, it seems, must have been either 385-384 B.C. or 382-381 B.C. His early life thus coincided with an eventful period, and witnessed more than one remarkable political change in the Greek world. In the years immediately after his birth the supremacy of Sparta was unquestioned. Greece lay at her feet. Her power had made itself felt far beyond the Peloponnese, even on the northern shores of the Ægean. She had overthrown the city which might have become an effectual bulwark against the terrible king of Macedon. Olynthus became her vassal in the year 379 B.C. All was changed eight years afterwards. The decisive battle of Leuctra, in 371 B.C., struck down Sparta and gave the ascendancy to Thebes. For a few years Greece resounded with the fame of her two illustrious citizens, Epameinondas and Pelopidas. But when she lost Epameinondas, nine years after Leuctra, in the brilliant victory of Mantineia, she lost with him the supreme

control of Greek politics, retaining merely the foremost rank among the northern states Meanwhile she had given, as we have seen, shelter and education to the future destroyer of Greek freedom

Amid these changes and revolutions, Demosthenes grew up to manhood His own state, Athens, had achieved nothing specially worthy of record during this period. Still, she was altogether the most famous city of Greece, and was commercially prosperous The father of Demosthenes, who bore the same name, was a rich and eminently respectable citizen He was a merchant and a manufacturer, and belonged to the wealthy middle class His property was distributed in various investments He had two manufactories, and each, it seems, had a good business One was a sword and knife manufactory, and employed thirty-two slaves The other was a cabinet manufactory, and in this twenty slaves were employed He had also money out at interest, a deposit account at one of the principal banks, and sums lent, according to a very prevalent Athenian practice, on ship-cargoes He had, too, a house of some value, and good furniture and plate, and his wife was an heiress, and had her jewels on a tolerably handsome scale But the lady, whose name was Cleobule, was not of pure Athenian blood, and her birth and antecedents were not quite what could be desired Her father, Gylon, was a man of distinctly blemished reputation He had been, in fact, accused of treason—the charge against him being that he had betrayed to the enemy the seaport town of Nymphæum in the Crimea He did not appear to answer the

accusation, and was, according to one account, sentenced to death in his absence. But he contrived to do well for himself. He went to Panticapæum, now Kertch, in the Crimea, then the capital of the kings of Bosporus, and there, through the king's favour, obtained a grant of land and married a rich wife. She was sneeringly spoken of at Athens as a barbarian and a Scythian—and so Æschines describes her; but it is quite possible that she may have been the daughter of one of those many Greeks who had settled in this remote district to carry on the business of exporting corn to Athens. It was then, as now, a specially corn-growing region. Gylon, it seems, made the most of the king's favour, and traded with great success. He was unquestionably a sharp, shrewd man; and he sent his two daughters well dowered to Athens, and there they both made fairly good matches. Both got Athenian citizens for their husbands—the one marrying Demochares, and the other the elder Demosthenes. We may not unreasonably conjecture that the mother of Demosthenes inherited some natural ability from her sagacious and enterprising father.

It was the misfortune of Demosthenes to be left an orphan when only seven years of age, and to fall into the hands of unscrupulous guardians. His father died worth fourteen talents,—about £3500 of our money. This, according to modern notions, is a very moderate property; but at Athens it was sufficiently large to place its possessor in the wealthiest class, and to render him liable to the highest rate of direct taxation. There were much larger fortunes, no doubt, as that of Nicias,

which is said to have amounted to 100 talents, or about £24,000 Alcibiades was even richer, and Callias, who lived at the time of the Persian war, and secured a good share of the plunder, was what we should call a millionaire, being reported to have been worth 200 talents. Athens, as we have seen, was, of all the Greek cities, by far the richest, and it always contained a number of well-to-do citizens The ordinary rate of interest was extremely high Money lent even on good security fetched from 12 to 20 per cent, and some investments, those especially on ship-cargoes—hazardous, no doubt—were yet more lucrative As much as 30 per cent was now and then paid on this class of investments Demosthenes asserts, in his pleadings against his guardians, that a third part of his estate produced an income of fifty minas This would make the entire income about £600 a-year Now, it appears that a citizen could live just decently at Athens on something like seven or eight minas a-year, or about £32, and in perfect comfort and respectability on fifty minas, or about £200 a-year, provided he kept clear of the various costly public services which were demanded from the rich Demosthenes, therefore, it is clear, having but one sister, ought to have had a very ample fortune, though he could not have been described as extremely wealthy His father, being in business, probably got 25 or even 30 per cent for a large part of his capital, and we should suppose that he was at Athens in much the same position as a man with from £2000 to £3000 a-year would be with us Had his will been faithfully carried out, and a third of the

income been set apart for maintenance and education, and two-thirds profitably invested, the son must have been decidedly rich when at the age of sixteen, ten years after his father's death, he attained his majority

As it was, he found himself comparatively poor He had to receive something less than two talents, and his income could not have exceeded from £60 to £70 a-year His father, we may surmise, had misgivings about the administration of the property, as he practically endeavoured to bribe the three guardians, two of whom were his nephews, into a faithful discharge of their trust by giving them full control over almost one-third of the property His sister's son, Aphobus, was to marry the widow, with a fair fortune, and to have the house and furniture during the minority of Demosthenes His brother's son, Demophon, was to have two talents, and to marry the daughter in due time In all respects he seems to have carefully provided for his two children, and to have left them in the charge of relatives on whose fidelity he might reasonably reckon The result can be ascribed only to negligence and dishonesty The property must have been partly muddled away, partly actually embezzled Admitting that some of the investments were precarious, and that the business of the two manufactories was simply mismanaged, we can hardly doubt that the trustees were unprincipled as well as utterly careless It is true, indeed, that Demosthenes was taunted by his rival Æschines with having squandered his patrimony in ridiculous follies, and it was alleged by one of the guardians, in defending the action, that large advances had been made The

boy had, it would seem, rather luxurious tastes, and in the last two years of his minority he may have indulged them freely. But this very inadequately explains the smallness of the sums handed over to him. It is an all but absolute certainty that he was swindled out of his property. The matter ended in his bringing an action against Aphobus, and recovering a verdict for ten talents. It is not certain whether he actually received this amount. Aphobus was rich and influential, and contrived to make further difficulties. We have five speeches connected with this action—three against Aphobus, and two against a brother-in-law of Aphobus, Onetor. It is from these speeches that we chiefly get our information about the property of Demosthenes. We have not the means of knowing the precise results of the suit, or what benefit, if any, Demosthenes derived from it. Much of the estate had somehow or other disappeared, and he had to enter on life as rather a poor instead of a rich man.

It is probable that his misfortunes had a good effect on his character. They may have been the source of his intense resolution and perseverance. From early years he had a weak constitution, and shrank from the vigorous physical training which was considered an essential element in a Greek education. He had an active mind, and a strong craving for intellectual culture. As became his position and expectations, he went to good schools—though his guardians, if we may believe his statement, were shabby enough to leave his school-fees unpaid. He had a passion for speeches and recitations, and it was said that he once induced his schoolmaster

to go with him to hear one of the first speakers of the day, Callistratus, who was delivering a great political harangue on the cession of the border-town Oropus to the Thebans The occasion may have been a turning-point in his life But he had an unlucky infirmity, he, who was to be the greatest orator of all time, stammered in his boyhood and youth It would seem as if his physical defects were too much for his mental vigour and his ambitious aspirations.

Plutarch in his 'Life of Demosthenes' gives us several interesting details about his study and preparation for the career of an orator, and it is satisfactory to find that so high an authority as Mr Grote thinks that they rest on good evidence It appears that the youth put himself under the instruction of Isæus, one of the first advocates of the time, who was frequently retained in cases connected with wills and disputes about property In his speeches against his guardians he is said to have availed himself of the counsel and guidance of this eminent lawyer But the most fashionable rhetoric-professor of the day was Isocrates, and Demosthenes was among the number of his most attentive and admiring hearers, though perhaps we must not believe a story according to which he asked the great man to teach him a fifth part of his art for two minas, as he could not afford the regular fee of ten minas, about £40, to learn the whole One would like to believe that he heard and admired some of the discourses of Plato, who was then in the height of his philosophical glory, and there is a tradition, mentioned by Cicero and Tacitus, to this effect. The literary styles of the

two men are no doubt very diverse; yet, as Dr Thirlwall suggests, it is not wholly improbable that the lofty morality which Demosthenes ventured to introduce into speeches addressed to Athenian assemblies and law courts may have been inspired by the philosopher. That he was a devoted student of the great History of Thucydides, that he copied it out eight times, and almost knew it by heart, we may well believe. One of the ancient critics, Dionysius of Halycarnassus, has elaborately pointed out resemblances in the orator to the historian. Strangely enough Cicero, in his Orator,* asks the question, "What Greek orator ever borrowed anything from Thucydides?" We really fail to see the point of this question, unless he meant to limit the term orator to a mere pleader, and even then we think he is wrong. But for the purpose of political oratory there cannot be a doubt that both the style and matter of Thucydides might be studied with infinite profit by a man of real capacity.

Nothing but the utmost energy and perseverance would have enabled Demosthenes to make himself an orator. He had, as already said, to surmount the actual physical difficulties of a feeble constitution and of some defect in his organs of speech. His ultimate success was a decisive proof of a singularly exceptional force of character. It is for this, indeed, as exhibited throughout his whole career, that he specially deserves admiration. We are told that he practised speaking with pebbles in his mouth; that he strengthened his lungs and his voice by reciting as he ran up hill; that

* Chapter ix.

he declaimed on the seashore amid the noise of waves and storms. He would even pass two or three months continuously in a subterranean cell, shaving one side of his head, that he might not be able to show himself in public, to the interruption of his rhetorical exercises. But all this patient and laborious practice did not procure immediate success. No public assembly could be more critical and fastidious than that of Athens. Demosthenes failed repeatedly. One of the old citizens found him on one of these occasions wandering about disconsolately in the Piræus, and tried to cheer him up by saying, "You have a way of speaking which reminds me of Pericles, but you lose yourself through mere timidity and cowardice." Another time he was returning to his home in deep dejection, when Satyrus, a great and popular actor, with whom he was well acquainted, entered into conversation with him. Demosthenes complained that though he was the most painstaking of all the orators, and had almost sacrificed his health to his intense application, yet he could find no favour with the people, and that drunken seamen and other illiterate persons were listened to in preference to himself. "True," replied the actor, "but I will provide you a remedy if you will repeat to me some speech in Euripides or Sophocles." Demosthenes did so, and then Satyrus recited the same speech in such a manner that it seemed to the orator quite a different passage. With the aid of such hints, joined to his own indefatigable industry, he at last achieved a distinct success in the law courts, and his services as an advocate were in great request.

After all, he had not much of which, according to

our notions, a man could reasonably complain Success came to him very early in life He was, as we should say, in large practice at the bar when he was considerably under thirty—an age at which a young English barrister hardly hopes for a brief Doubtless, at Athens there were opportunities for displaying oratorical ability which do not exist in England One thoroughly successful speech before the popular assembly might well make the fortune of a man as an advocate. To make such a speech required, we may be sure, marked ability and considerable training, but once made, it must at least have opened a career in the law courts Athenian law, too, was probably less intricate and difficult than English It had not such a variety of branches, as seem to be indispensable in so complex a community as our own The study of it must thus have been a much less arduous task than that which lies before the English lawyer But it was an admirable preparation for political life Law and politics were intermingled at Athens very much more than among ourselves, and a lawyer was almost necessarily something of a politician There, questions which we regard as purely political, and which would be discussed with us only in Parliament, might come before a law court An accusation, for instance, might be preferred against a man for proposing a law or a decree quite at variance with the spirit of the constitution Such cases were frequent It was in a prosecution of this nature that Demosthenes, who for some few years had had a good practice as a barrister in civil and criminal causes, made what we may fairly call his first appearance as a political adviser

CHAPTER IV

DEMOSTHENES ENTERS POLITICAL LIFE

In all democracies much will be expected from the rich This was the rule in the Greek states, and especially at Athens There the constitution demanded a certain amount of public spirit, and prescribed various modes in which it was to display itself Athenians loved a bright joyous life, and the wealthier of them were under legal obligations to minister to the popular tastes and contribute to the public amusements There was a good side to all this It made the rich feel that they must not use their riches merely for their own selfish enjoyment, but that it ought to be the glory of an Athenian citizen of fortune to put happiness and refinement within the reach of every member of the community Pericles, in the famous funeral oration, the substance of which Thucydides has given us, had boasted how it was the peculiar genius of Athens to combine mirthfulness and gaiety with a strong sense of political responsibility

Poetry and music were an essential part of an Athenian's life They were intimately connected with all the religious festivals With us the pleasures of the opera are necessarily confined to a select few At

Athens the poorest citizen was enabled to gratify his taste for such pleasures The law imposed on a man with a certain amount of property the liability of having to provide a chorus of singers or musicians on some great public occasion He had to bear all the expenses himself Having made up his number, he had to obtain a teacher or choir-master, and to pay him for his instruction He had also, it seems, to board and lodge the chorus during the time of its training, and he had, further, to furnish them with suitable dresses All this, of course, he could do by deputy, but if he was anxious, as he usually would be, to do it with credit to himself, he would find that he must give the matter his personal attention. There was a prize for the best performance, and this, if not intrinsically valuable, was sure to be coveted The choragus, as he was called, had a stall assigned him in the theatre, and it was part of his duty to be present during the ceremony with his crown and robe of office There seems to have been every variety of chorus—tragic and comic choruses, pyrrhic choruses, and choruses of flute-players The expense of providing them might range from £100 to £1200—a large sum in comparison with Athenian wealth Still this amount was, it appears, often exceeded in an eager competition for the prize The successful choragus was certain to be a popular citizen

This, then, was one of the regular charges on the wealthier class There were others Athleticism and gymnastic games were a prominent feature in Greek life At Athens one of the amusements in which they specially delighted was running with the torch, the

runners carrying wax lights in their hands, which it was their object not to extinguish The race in the time of Socrates began to be run on horseback, and the training and preparation for it became one of the public services, which the rich had to undertake The gymnasiarch, or director of these games, had to defray all the expenses connected with the spectacle; he had to see to and to pay for the training of the competitors, which was on a very elaborate scale, and might involve a comparatively heavy outlay. Another still more burdensome obligation was the conduct of religious embassies to various places This was regarded as a duty of the highest and most sacred kind; and whenever the State sent out a special commission to any of the ancient seats of Greek worship, such as Delos or Delphi, to consult the oracle of the god or to offer a solemn sacrifice, it was represented by citizens of wealth and distinction. Anything like parsimony on such an occasion would have been thought peculiarly discreditable, and it was the tendency of an Athenian to go to the opposite extreme The head of the sacred mission entered the city whither he was bound with a crown of gold and in a splendidly equippedchariot. Alcibiades astonished the Greek world at the Olympic festival with his magnificent horses and his princely expenditure Even in an ordinary way, however, the performance of this duty must have been a costly service A minor expense was that of giving a public dinner to the particular tribe of which a man was a member This too was a burden imposed on the rich. Last of all came the obligation to

maintain the fleet in efficiency,—Athens' defence and glory This—the trierarchy, as it was called—was a service of which we are continually hearing in the speeches of Demosthenes, and to place it on a satisfactory footing was an object he had specially at heart

All these services, it must be understood, were legally compulsory—not merely enforced on the rich by public opinion, as in our time At Athens, no citizen who was registered as the possessor of a certain amount of property could evade them A man in England may be obliged to serve the office of sheriff once in a way, but to try to create public spirit by law would be repugnant to our notions In a Greek state there was a much more distinct theory as to what each citizen owed to the commonwealth, and Athens, the very type of Greek democracy, felt it most natural to make these demands on her richer classes At the same time, she had thought fit to exempt certain persons from the operation of this principle There were a few whose meritorious services might be fairly considered to have earned them such an exemption—the trierarchy alone excepted The privilege in some cases was extended to their descendants Two names were cherished at Athens with peculiarly grateful remembrance, those of Harmodius and Aristogeiton, the illustrious tyrannicides, who were believed to have given freedom and equality to their city To their offspring for ever was granted immunity from the public burdens we have just described In like manner, a statesman or a general who had deserved well of his country might be rewarded with the same privilege for himself and

his children. With us such men occasionally obtain pensions, which, in a few instances, are continued to their descendants With the Athenians, they enjoyed what was perhaps almost an equivalent—exemption from costly and burdensome services

It is easy to see that many abuses might creep into this system; and that even without any very glaring abuses, there might be much envy and dissatisfaction. Privileges of any kind are sure to give offence, and in a democratical community they cannot fail to furnish a handle to demagogues and politicians We are therefore not surprised to find that at Athens in 356 B C a law was proposed and carried repealing all exemptions and immunities The author of the law was a certain Leptines, who was no doubt put forward as the spokesman of a considerable party. He contrived to get a measure of a very sweeping kind passed, so that not only were all existing grants of immunity abolished, but it was declared illegal to make such grants in the future, and even to ask for them was forbidden under a heavy penalty We do not know whether there was any special impulse or provocation under which the people of Athens allowed themselves to be persuaded into passing this law. It roused, of course, a strong opposition, the leader of which was a son of the famous Chabrias, who had fallen in his country's cause, fighting on board his ship at the siege of Chios The son had inherited from his father one of these honourable grants of immunity He was, it seems, himself utterly unworthy of it, but he represented a principle, and had, we may be sure, a numerous following Demosthenes

became his advocate, and in the year subsequent to the passing of the law, he assailed it in a speech which has always been much admired.

This was his first political effort He was quite a young man at the time—thirty years of age at most, probably less The speech he delivered does not exhibit the fire and force of some of his subsequent orations, it is calm and argumentative, and deserves the epithet of "subtle" which Cicero * applies to it It is in fact a specimen throughout of close and consecutive reasoning Leptines' proposal was no doubt popular, and it was supported by many plausible arguments The circumstances of the State were such as made any exemptions and immunities from public burdens of very questionable expediency Athens had been seriously impoverished by her recent disastrous war with her allies, and many of her richer citizens must, for a time at least, have been sorely straitened in their resources To exempt such wealthy men from burdens which there was not too much wealth left to bear, might well seem a distinct loss to the State. It increased the difficulty of providing for those public festivals which were so dear to the people. It could also no doubt be plausibly argued that exemptions had been granted too freely, and now and then to thoroughly unworthy persons Many a man not particularly rich would think himself aggrieved, when he saw some one far richer than himself altogether exempt The favoured few were sure to be envied, and might almost be said to be defrauding the State of what they owed it The

* Orator, c xxxi.

object, in fact, of the law of Leptines was, it might be contended, to insure for Athens the due performance of services which she had a right to claim from every citizen of ample means. The burden, he argued, ought to fall on all such; no exemptions ought to be granted, as it was likely they would be granted unwisely, and the examples of other states, such as Sparta and Thebes, showed that these grants were unnecessary. Besides, merit at Athens was rewarded in other ways; and in sweeping away such rewards as these, they would be really abolishing what was not needed by the possessors, and was at the same time injurious to the State. Thus the new law seemed on the surface a good one, and must have enlisted popular sympathy. It promised to get rid of invidious privileges, to distribute public burdens equitably, and to provide for the celebration of the festivals and games with becoming splendour.

The occasion was thus clearly one to task all the powers of an opposition speaker. If we want a modern analogy, we may suppose a motion brought forward in the House of Commons in a time of national distress, when every tax would be acutely felt, to abolish all pensions ever granted to deserving men and to their children. It is conceivable that such a proposition might find supporters at a trying crisis, and become a powerful party-cry. Demosthenes may well have had an uphill battle to fight. But he took the right ground, and rested his case on the highest moral principles and the most enlightened view of political expediency. The faith and honour of the State, he maintained, must be superior to all other

check on patriotic impulses must be inexpedient No one could foresee what course politics might take, and it was possible that citizens like Harmodius and Aristogeiton might again be needed. All human legislation must take account of such possibilities and contingencies, improbable as they might seem at the time. The law of Leptines was, in fact, an offence to Nemesis, which ever waits on arrogance and presumption."

These were some of the chief arguments with which Demosthenes combated the reasonings of his opponent In one passage he reminds his audience how careful Athens had been in the past of her good name

"You have to consider not merely whether you love money, but whether you love also a good name, which you are more anxious after than money, and not you only, but your ancestors, as I can prove For when they had got wealth in abundance, they expended it all in pursuit of honour For glory's sake they never shrank from any danger, but persevered to the last, spending even their private fortunes Instead of a good name, this law fastens an opprobrium on the commonwealth, unworthy both of your ancestors and yourselves. It begets three of the greatest reproaches—the reputation of being envious, faithless, and ungrateful. That it is altogether foreign to your character to establish a law like this, I will endeavour to prove in a few words by recounting one of the former acts of the State The Thirty Tyrants are said to have borrowed money from the Lacedæmonians to attack the party in the

Piræus When unanimity was restored, and these troubles were composed, the Lacedæmonians sent ambassadors and demanded payment of their money Upon this there arose a debate, and some contended that the borrowers, the city party, should pay; others advised that it should be the first proof of harmony to join in discharging the debt The people, we know, determined themselves to contribute, and share in the expense, to avoid breaking any article of their convention Then, were it not shameful if, at that time, you chose to contribute money for the benefit of persons who had injured you, rather than break your word, yet now, when it is in your power, without cost, to do justice to your benefactors by repealing this law, you should prefer to break your word?"

He argues that the envious, grudging spirit displayed in the law is, of all things, most alien to Athenian feeling

"Every possible reproach should be avoided, but most of all, that of being envious Why? Because envy is altogether the mark of a bad disposition, and to have this feeling is wholly unpardonable Besides, abhorring, as our commonwealth does, everything disgraceful, there is no reproach from which she is further removed than from the imputation of being envious Observe how strong are the proofs In the first place, you are the only people who have state funerals for the dead, and funeral orations in which you glorify the actions of brave men Such a custom is that of a people which admires virtue, and does not envy others who are

honoured for it In the next place, you have ever bestowed the highest rewards upon those who win the garlands in gymnastic contests, nor have you, because but few are born to partake of such rewards, envied the parties receiving them, nor abridged your honours on that account Add to these striking evidences that no one appears ever to have surpassed our State in liberality—such munificence has she displayed in requiting services All these are manifestations of justice, virtue, magnanimity Do not destroy the character for which our State has all along been renowned, do not, in order that Leptines may wreak his personal malice upon some whom he dislikes, deprive the State and yourselves of the honourable name which you have enjoyed throughout all time Regard this as a contest purely for the dignity of Athens, whether it is to be maintained the same as before, or to be impaired and degraded"

The following passage is near the conclusion of the speech He is arguing against the impolicy of binding the State for the future by such a law —

"To one thing more I beg your attention This law cannot be good which makes the same provision for the future as the past 'No one shall be exempt,' it says, 'not even the descendants of Harmodius and Aristogeiton' Good 'Nor shall it be lawful to grant exemptions hereafter' Not if similar men arise? Blame former doings as you may, know you also the future? Oh, but we are far from expecting anything of the kind I trust we are, but being human, our language and our

law should be such as not to shock religious sentiment, and while we look for good fortune, and implore heaven to grant it, we will regard all fortune as subject to human casualties The future, I take it, is uncertain to all men, and small occasions are productive of great events. Therefore we will be moderate in prosperity, and show that we have an eye to the future"

It may be said that there is much of a modern tone and character about this speech Its arguments are those of a constitutional lawyer and of a far-sighted politician It is quiet and temperate, and at the same time singularly convincing It was successful in its immediate object, and it must have established the reputation of Demosthenes as a political debater of the first rank From this time he must have felt but little timidity or hesitation in addressing that critical audience—the Athenian popular assembly

CHAPTER V

EARLY SPEECHES OF DEMOSTHENES ON FOREIGN POLICY

Persia in the fourth century B C was a more considerable power than we might have supposed from the comparative ease with which it was overthrown by Alexander The Great King, as he was always called, was in the possession of immense resources Financially he was much stronger than the Greek world, though his military inferiority had been more than once clearly proved He was still looked on by the Greeks generally with a sort of wondering awe He ruled in some fashion a vast empire, and held it together by means of satraps and vassal princes, notwithstanding occasional serious revolts He had had indeed, in past days, to acknowledge the independence of the Asiatic Greeks, still he was always distinctly felt as a force in Greek politics, with which from time to time he was brought into contact On the whole, he was regarded as an enemy; but the unfortunate want of anything like hearty union among the states of Greece tended to weaken this feeling, and to make combined action against him all but impossible There was always, however, a vague fear that he might some day, if violently provoked, crush

the Greek world beneath the weight of a huge barbarian invasion

In the year 356 B C, the second year of Athens' war with her revolted allies, this fear rose, at Athens at least, to a positive panic Greek generals, as we have seen, occasionally found it convenient to take service under some Persian satrap, for the sake of the liberal pay on which they could confidently reckon In the year above mentioned, Chares was in command of a fleet which Athens had sent out to put down her rebellious subjects in the islands of the Ægean He was a man thoroughly of the adventurer type, and when he found that he could not pay his troops, which were for the most part foreign mercenaries, he carried off his armament on his own responsibility to the aid of Artabanus, the satrap of the country south of the Propontis, who was then in revolt against the Great King Artabanus was, at the time, in sore need of help, but Chares gained for him a brilliant victory over the king's forces, and he received for himself and his soldiers a liberal reward The proceeding was, of course, utterly irregular, and gave great offence at Athens; but the success reconciled them to it The King of Persia was naturally very indignant, and sent an embassy to Athens to complain of this unprovoked aggression Soon it was rumoured that he was preparing a fleet of 300 galleys to aid their revolted allies and to attack their city There was intense excitement Peace was immediately concluded with the allies, but there was a strong feeling in favour of declaring war against Persia Now, it was said, was the time for an appeal to Panhellenic sen-

timent, and to endeavour to unite Greece against her old enemy We can well imagine that such language was likely to meet with a response in many quarters, and that it might well seem patriotic, and even prudent

In this case, again, Demosthenes thought it his duty to protest He did so in a speech delivered in 354 B C He must have been, in all probability, on the unpopular side. He had, too, against him the opinion of the famous and clever rhetorician, Isocrates, who had urged in one of his pamphlets the expediency of a Panhellenic combination against Persia The party of Eubulus, backed up by a number of orators and demagogues, supported this policy. To Demosthenes it seemed an idle dream—the preposterous imagination of a knot of political adventurers The speech in which he opposed it is calm and statesmanlike "In no one of his speeches," says Mr Grote, "is the spirit of practical wisdom more predominant than in this his earliest known discourse to the public Assembly" He tells his excited countrymen some very plain home-truths "The Greeks," he frankly says, "are too jealous of each other to be capable of uniting in an aggressive war They might indeed do so in a war of self-defence Should Athens declare war, the King of Persia would be able to purchase aid from the Greeks themselves Such a step would consequently lay bare the worst weaknesses of the Greek world. Their right policy was to put Athens in a posture of defence, that she might not be attacked unprepared. They must re-organise their fleet They must not shrink from personal military service and lean upon foreign mercenaries.

They must not rest contentedly on the glorious deeds of their ancestors, but uphold the dignity of their State by themselves imitating their deeds, whatever temporary sacrifices it might cost them And they should seek to rally round Athens a host of confederates, united to her by the bonds of common interest and mutual confidence" Some of these topics are such as, under critical circumstances, it must have required much moral courage to urge

A few passages from the speech will give the reader an idea of Demosthenes' views about Persia, about the difficulty of united action against that power, and the immediate duties of the Athenians themselves —

"I hold the King," he says, "to be the common enemy of all the Greeks Still I would not for this reason advise you without the rest to undertake a war against him. The Greeks themselves, I observe, are not friends to one another On the contrary, some have more confidence in the King than in certain of their own people Such being the case, I deem it expedient for you to see that the cause of war be equitable and just, that all necessary preparations be made, and that this should be the groundwork of your resolution Were there any plain proof that the King of Persia was about to attack the Greeks, I think they would join alliance, and be extremely grateful to those who sided with them and defended them against him. But if we rush into a quarrel before his intentions are declared, I am afraid that we shall be driven into a war with both—with the King and with the people

whom we are anxious to protect He will suspend his designs, if he really has resolved to attack the Greeks, will give money to some, and promise friendship, while they, in the wish to carry on their own wars with better success and intent on similar objects, will disregard the common safety of the Greek world I beseech you not to betray our country into such embarrassment and folly. You, I perceive, cannot adopt the same policy in regard to the king as the other Greeks can Many of them, I conceive, may very well pursue their selfish interests, and be utterly indifferent to the national welfare But for you it would be dishonourable, even though you had suffered wrong, so to punish the wrong-doers as to let any of them fall under the power of the barbarian. Under these circumstances we must be careful not to engage in the war on unequal terms, and not to allow him whom we suppose to be planning mischief against the Greeks to get the credit of appearing their friend."

Although Athens is rich, he warns the people that those riches will not be forthcoming on a mere vague rumour of hostilities from Persia When the danger is seen to be really imminent, then it will be time for the State to put a pressure on its wealthy citizens

"You invite the Greeks to join you But if you will not act as they wish, how can you expect they will obey your call, when some of them have no good-will towards you? Because, forsooth, they will hear from you that Persia has designs on them? Pray, do you

imagine that they don't foresee it themselves? I am sure they do, but at present the fear outweighs the enmity which some of them bear towards you and towards each other Athens contains treasures equal to the rest of the Greek states put together But the owners of wealth are so minded that if all your orators alarmed them with the intelligence that the King was coming, that he was at hand, that the danger was inevitable—if, besides the orators, a number of persons gave oracular warning—so far from contributing, they would not even discover their wealth or acknowledge its possession But if they knew that what is so terrible in report was really begun, there is not a man so foolish who would not be ready to give and foremost to contribute I say that we have money against the time of actual need, but not before And therefore I advise you not to search for it now Your right course is to complete your other preparations Let the rich retain their riches for the present (it cannot be in better hands for the State), and should the crisis come, then take it from them in voluntary contributions"

The speech is thus concluded —

"My advice is, do not be over-alarmed at the war, neither be led to commence it As far as I see, no other state of Greece has reason to fear it All the Greeks know that so long as they regarded Persia as their common enemy, they were at peace one with another, and enjoyed much prosperity But since they have looked on the King as a friend, and quarrelled about disputes with each other, they have suffered worse

calamities than any one could possibly imprecate upon them Should we fear a man whom both fortune and heaven declare to be an unprofitable friend and a useful enemy? If it were possible with one heart and with united forces to attack him alone, such an injury I could not pronounce to be an injustice But since this cannot be, I say we must be cautious, and not afford the King a pretence for vindicating the rights of the other Greeks Do not expose the melancholy condition of Greece by convoking her people when you cannot persuade them, and making war when you cannot carry it on Only keep quiet, fear nothing, and prepare yourselves My advice in brief is this Prepare yourselves against existing enemies, and you ought with the same force to be able to resist the King and all others, if they attempt to injure you But never begin a wrong in word or deed Let us look that our actions, and not our speeches on the platform, be worthy of our ancestors If you pursue this course, you will do service not only to yourselves, but also to those who give the opposite counsel, for you will not be angry with them afterwards for errors now committed."

In this speech Demosthenes may be said to foreshadow the general character of his foreign policy He did not wish Athens to be aggressive, but simply to hold her own with a firm hand. This, he thought, she might well be persuaded to do Grand schemes of Panhellenic union against the empire of Persia, such as floated before the imagination of Isocrates, and were,

through his influence, fascinating the minds of a certain class of political enthusiasts, he scouted as Quixotic. Above all things, he aimed at being a practical statesman; and of this the speech from which we have just been quoting, delivered by him in the commencement of his public life, is decisive evidence.

In the following year he delivered a speech which is of considerable interest as showing his view of Greek politics at the time. It was important, he thought, for Athens that there should be, as we say, a balance of power in the Greek world, and that neither Sparta nor Thebes should be too strong. I have explained the circumstances under which Megalopolis was founded in 371 B.C., after the great battle of Leuctra, under Theban influence, as the metropolis of Arcadia, and specially as a check on Sparta. The establishment of this city, together with the loss of the Messenian territory, which soon followed, was a terrible blow to that state. Sparta, in fact, for the time, was reduced to a second-rate power. She was hemmed in by enemies on the north and on the west. It was hardly to be expected that she would acquiesce in such humiliation. And so, in the year 353 B.C., her king, Archidamus, began to plan a counter-revolution, which should undo the work of Leuctra by the destruction of Megalopolis and the reconquest of Messenia. It was, however, necessary for him to have some pretext which should commend itself generally to Greek opinion. He was meditating an entire unsettlement of the affairs of the Peloponnese in the interest of Sparta; and this, he knew, would not be allowed if it were to be openly

avowed. Accordingly he put forward the policy of a general restoration of ancient rights to the different states Athens would thus recover the border town of Oropus, now in the possession of Thebes, the loss of which had much vexed and distressed her Thus, it was hoped, she might be disposed to favour the Spartan proposals, which, as a matter of course, the anti-Theban party, then very strong, would back up to the utmost of its power The result which such a policy would have on Megalopolis, as a barrier in Sparta's way, was kept in the background. The new city must have inevitably dwindled down into an insignificant township, and the purpose with which it had been founded would have been frustrated

Envoys came to Athens both from Sparta and from Megalopolis There was a warm and angry debate The bitter hatred Athenians had always felt towards Thebans, coupled with the immediate desire of recovering Oropus, was enough to recommend the Spartan proposals It seems strange that the memory of what Athens had suffered from the hands of Sparta did not at once decide the question, and open the eyes of the people to the dangers of Sparta's insidious policy Some there were who saw through it and denounced it Demosthenes was among the number He was with the "Opposition," and it appears that on this occasion he failed He supported the cause of Megalopolis—the cause, in fact, of Thebes—arguing that it would be a grave political blunder to assist Sparta in recovering the position which she held in Greece previous to the battle of Leuctra His speech is subtle

and ingenious, and must have been convincing to those who would not let themselves be carried away by an unreasoning antipathy to everything Theban.

"The Lacedæmonians," he says, "are acting a crafty part They say they cannot retain the gratitude they feel for you for helping them in a time of urgent need unless you now allow them to commit an injustice However repugnant it may be to the designs of the Spartans that we should adopt the Arcadian alliance" (that is, the alliance of Megalopolis), "surely their gratitude for having been saved by us in a crisis of extreme peril ought to outweigh their resentment for being checked in their aggression now"

As to the bait held out by Sparta to Athens in the prospect of the recovery of Oropus, he says —

"My opinion is, first, that our State, even without sacrificing any Arcadian people to the Lacedæmonians, may recover Oropus, both with their aid, if they are minded to act justly, and that of others who hold Theban usurpation to be intolerable Secondly, supposing that it were evident to us that, unless we permit the Lacedæmonians to reduce the Peloponnese, we cannot obtain possession of Oropus, allow me to say, I deem it more expedient to let Oropus alone than to abandon Messenia and the Peloponnese to the Lacedæmonians ' I imagine the question between us and them would soon be about other matters . .

"I am sure, to judge from rational observation—and I think most Athenians will agree with me—that

if the Lacedæmonians take Megalopolis, Messenia will be in danger; and if they take Messenia, I predict that you and the Thebans will be allies Then it is much better and more honourable for us to receive the Theban confederacy as our friends and resist Lacedæmonian ambition, than, out of reluctance to save the allies of Thebes, to abandon them now, and have afterwards to save Thebes herself and be in fear also for our own safety. I cannot but regard it as perilous to our State should the Lacedæmonians take Megalopolis and again become strong For I see they have undertaken the war not to defend themselves, but to recover their ancient power What were their designs when they possessed that power, you perhaps know better than I, and therefore may have reason to be alarmed"

This was plain speaking, and sound, statesmanlike advice It could not have been the interest of Athens to let Sparta regain her old supremacy, as she was certainly striving to do It was her interest, as Demosthenes says towards the conclusion of his speech, not to abandon Megalopolis and the Arcadians, and to make them feel (should they survive the struggle) that they had owed their deliverance not to themselves or to any other people but the Athenians As affairs turned out, the dangers he apprehended never came to pass He could not persuade his countrymen to support Megalopolis They simply stood neutral The Lacedæmonians waged war for two years in Arcadia, and gained some partial successes, but they could not carry out their designs Thebes, though she had occupation

for her soldiers in other quarters, contrived to send an army into the Peloponnese, and after some indecisive engagements, a truce was concluded, which left matters as they were Megalopolis and the Arcadian confederacy escaped the peril with which Sparta had threatened them. But the result to Athens and to Greece was unsatisfactory Subsequently, when they apprehended a similar danger from Sparta, they did not think it worth their while to ask help from Athens They did not care to be refused a second time, and on this occasion they applied to Philip He was not the man to miss such an opportunity, and thus Macedonian influence was brought to bear on the affairs of the Peloponnese This was the unfortunate consequence of the indifference of Athens to the progress of Spartan ambition She gave the impression to the Greek world that she was not in earnest in wishing to maintain the liberties of the states of the Peloponnese, although it had been her constant profession to do so This was the inference drawn from her refusal to ally herself with Megalopolis against Sparta Had she been guided by the counsels of Demosthenes, she would have assumed a dignified political attitude, and, as events turned out, have put a stumbling-block in the way of her future enemy and destroyer It is true, indeed, that at that time there was no distinct cause of apprehension from Macedon, and there is not even any allusion to Philip in this speech of Demosthenes We may therefore conclude that as yet he himself feared nothing in that quarter Still, it is not the less to his credit that he urged Athens to adopt a

policy which would have won for her the respect and confidence of many of the Greeks, and might have had the effect of excluding the intrusion of a most dangerous foreign influence into an important part of the Greek world.

CHAPTER VI.

FIRST SPEECH OF DEMOSTHENES AGAINST PHILIP—SPEECH FOR THE FREEDOM OF THE PEOPLE OF RHODES

THE year 352 B C brought with it the beginnings of great events In that year, for the first time, the king of Macedon really showed that he might possibly be entertaining designs fraught with peril to the Greek world He had prominently intervened in Greek politics He had taken a conspicuous part in the Sacred or Holy War between the Thebans and Phocians. Once, indeed, he had been utterly defeated by the Phocian leader, Onomarchus, and had been driven back into his kingdom with loss and disaster, though report made him say that "he did not fly, but fell back, like the battering-ram, to give a more violent shock another time" He speedily again entered Thessaly with a more powerful army, and with the help of his allies in that country and of the admirable Thessalian cavalry, he won at Pagasæ a decisive victory over Onomarchus, who perished in the flight Now he was completely master of Thessaly, a country which ought to have been under the control of a Greek state, and in which, of late, Theban influence had been

supreme. Macedom was thus in effect the principal land power to the north of the Peloponnese, and her king had both displayed military genius, and had shown that he was in command of an army with which it was already a question whether any single Greek state could cope The battle just fought was on a very considerable scale, and could not have failed to suggest unpleasant apprehensions to the mind of every thinking politician Philip might very possibly follow up his success with an instant invasion of northern Greece He did in fact advance on Thermopylæ, but Athens had forestalled him, and the famous pass was guarded by a force before which he thought it prudent to retire The Athenians exulted in the reflection that they had once again been the deliverers of Greece But their joy was doomed to be of very brief duration

For a few months the king of Macedon employed himself in securing a firm hold on Thessaly Meanwhile his cruisers and privateers, of which he had contrived to raise a formidable number, infested the northern islands and coasts of the Ægean, to the great annoyance and injury of Athenian trade In the autumn of 352 B C he hurried northwards, entered Thrace, and took advantage of its intestine feuds, with a view to getting the country under his control. In November news reached Athens, the serious import of which could not be misunderstood. Philip was besieging Heræum—a place probably on the northern coast of the Propontis, to the west of Perinthus It was contiguous to the Thracian Chersonese, occupied,

as we have seen, by Athenian colonists, and, as it appears, actually garrisoned by an Athenian force. The act was thus one of almost open hostility, and practically equivalent to a declaration of war. But what made it singularly alarming was, that it was a most dangerous menace to the Athenian interests on the north of the Ægean. It meant, in fact, peril to the corn trade of Athens, and high prices and possibly famine to the citizens. It showed too, clearly enough, that Philip, if he could, would rob the city of its most valuable outlying possessions. Thus the eyes of the people ought to have been thoroughly opened to the danger which hung over them; but as soon as they knew that Philip was ill, and next heard a report of his death, they fell back into their love of the easy, comfortable life at Athens, with its pleasures and amusements, and flattered themselves with the notion that the crisis was finally past. The peace party with Eubulus at its head, always strong, was now for the moment stronger than ever; and its best representative, the really patriotic Phocion, was too cynical to believe in the possibility of his countrymen being roused to the degree of effort and endurance which a serious struggle with Macedon would demand from them.

As soon as it was known that Philip had recovered, and was as active and aggressive as ever, there were, it appears, several acrimonious debates in the Assembly, with grievous complaints as to the inefficiency of the generals and of their troops. Athens still clung to her maritime supremacy, and it was felt to be disgraceful that this should be threatened by a barbarian. Still,

her public men had not the moral courage to tell the people plainly the only way by which such a disgrace could be ended It was painful to speak to them of personal service on shipboard, with all its hardships and risks Demosthenes, in his speech on the war with Persia, had hinted, not obscurely, at this necessity He did so far more clearly and persistently on the occasion we have been describing At the age of about thirty he spoke the memorable harangue known as the First Philippic

The speech shows that he had now quite made up his mind on the subject of the foreign policy of Athens A year ago he had not, as we may reasonably infer, regarded Macedon as a source of real danger to the freedom of the Greek world He was now convinced that Philip had designs beyond the mere establishment of a compact and powerful northern kingdom. He takes a broad view of the political situation, and speaks not merely as a citizen of the foremost state of Greece, but as a Greek on behalf of Greek security and independence

It was assuredly much to the honour of Demosthenes that, as a young politician, he sounded a distinct note of warning, which he must have known would have jarred on the easy-going temper of his countrymen Their affairs, he plainly tells them, were in a very bad plight, but there was hope, just because they had not as yet really exerted themselves Therefore there was no reason for despair Philip's power, indeed, was already great he had Thessaly at his feet, he had defeated a Greek army under a brave and experienced

leader, he was now threatening the Chersonese and the northern coasts of the Ægean, and with his fleet was harassing the commerce of Athens, still, he was not a more formidable foe than Sparta had been, and the fact that he was formidable at all was due to their own voluntary supineness, which, for the sake of Greece and for the glory of Athens, they must shake off once and for ever. Otherwise, even if rumour had truly asserted Philip's death, they would soon raise up against themselves another Philip equally terrible.

"You must not despond," he says at the beginning of his speech, "under your present circumstances, wretched as they are, for that which is worst in them as regards the past, is best for the future. My meaning is this—your affairs are amiss because you do nothing which is required. If the result were the same, although you performed your duties, there would be no hope of amendment. Consider, further, what is known to you by hearsay, and what men of experience remember. Not long ago, how vast a power the Lacedæmonians possessed! Yet how nobly and admirably did you consult the dignity of Athens, and undertook the war against them for the rights of Greece! Why do I mention this? To show and convince you that nothing, if you take precaution, is to be feared, nothing, if you are negligent, goes as you desire. Take, for examples, the strength of the Lacedæmonians, which you overcame by minding your duty, and the insolent ambition of this Philip now, which utterly confounds us through our neglect of our interest. If any of you

think the man a formidable foe, looking at the vastness of his present power and our loss of all our strongholds, that is reasonable enough, only you should reflect that there was a time when we held Pydna, and Potidæa, and Methone, with all the adjacent country, and that many of the nations now in league with Philip were independent and free, and preferred our friendship to his Had Philip then taken it into his head that Athens was too formidable a foe to fight, when she had so many fortresses to threaten his country, and he was destitute of allies, nothing that he has accomplished would he have attempted, and never would he have acquired so large a dominion But he saw clearly enough that such places are the open prizes of war,—that the possessions of the absent belong to the present, those of the careless to the adventurous who shrink not from toil Acting on that principle, he has won everything, and keeps it either by way of conquest or by friendly attachment and alliance, for all men will side with and respect those whom they see prepared and willing to make proper exertions If you will adopt this principle now, though you have not hitherto done so—and if every man, when he can and ought to give his service to the State, be ready to give it without excuse—if the rich will contribute, if the able-bodied will enlist,—in a word, plainly, if you will become your own masters, and cease each expecting to do nothing himself, while his neighbour does everything for him,—then will you, with heaven's permission, recover your own, and get back what has been frittered away, and chastise Philip Do not un-

agine that his empire is everlastingly secured to him as to a god. There are who hate and fear and envy him, even among those that seem most friendly; and all feelings natural to other men exist, we may assume, in his confederates. But now they are all cowed, for they have no refuge because of your tardiness and indolence, which I say you must abandon forthwith."

On the subject of the preparations they ought to make, Demosthenes thus advises them:—

"First, we must provide fifty war-ships, and hold ourselves prepared in case of emergency to embark and sail. There must, too, be an equipment of transports for half the cavalry, and sufficient boats. This we must have in readiness against his sudden marches from his own country to Thermopylæ, the Chersonese, Olynthus, and anywhere he likes. For he should be made to have the idea that possibly you may rouse yourselves out of this over supineness and start off as you did to Eubœa, and very lately to Thermopylæ. Such an armament, I say, ought instantly to be agreed upon and provided."

In the following passage, the want of skill and method with which Athens was carrying on the contest is strikingly exposed:—

"You, Athenians, with larger means than any people, have never up to this day made proper use of any of them, and your war with Philip is exactly like the boxing of barbarians. With them, the party struck first is always feeling for the blow; strike him any-

where else, there go his hands again, ward or look you in the face he cannot and will not So with you If you hear of Philip in the Chersonese or at Thermopylæ, you vote to send a force there, if you hear of him somewhere else, you run, so to say, after his heels up and down, and are, in fact, commanded by him No plan have you devised for the war, no circumstance do you see beforehand, but only when you learn that something is done or is about to be done Formerly, perhaps, this was allowable, now it is come to a crisis to be borne no longer It seems as if some god, in shame at our proceedings, had put this activity into Philip For had he been willing to remain quiet in possession of his conquests and prizes, and attempted nothing further, some of you, I think, would be satisfied with a state of things which brands our nation with the shame of cowardice and of the foulest disgrace But by continually encroaching and grasping after more, he may possibly rouse you, if you have not altogether despaired. I marvel, indeed, that not one of you notices with concern and anger that the beginning of this war was to chastise Philip; the end is to protect ourselves against his attacks."

Towards the conclusion of his speech, Demosthenes reproaches the people with their silly fondness for gossiping about Philip's reported movements, and bids them remember that he now is and long has been their enemy —

"Some among ourselves go about and say that Philip is concerting with the Lacedæmonians the de-

struction of Thebes and the dissolution of free states, some, that he has sent envoys to the King,* others, that he is fortifying cities in Illyria So we wander about, each inventing stories For my part, I quite believe that Philip is thoroughly intoxicated with the magnitude of his exploits, and that he has many such dreams in his imagination Still, most assuredly his plan of action is not such as to let the greatest fools among us know what his intentions are For the greatest fools are these newsmongers. Let us dismiss such talk, and remember only that Philip is an enemy who robs us of our own, and has long insulted us, that whenever we have expected aid from any quarter, it has been found hostile, and that the future depends on ourselves, and, unless we are willing to fight him there, we shall perhaps be compelled to fight here This let us remember, and then we shall have determined wisely, and have done with idle conjectures You need not pry into the future, but assure yourselves that it will be disastrous, unless you give your mind to your duty, and are willing to act as becomes you"

The only result of this speech was, that a paltry four or five ships were sent to the Chersonese under a mercenary and somewhat disreputable general, Charidemus The fact was, that there was a numerous party at Athens who never could be persuaded that Philip would some day be a really dangerous enemy Persia was the power of which they were always thinking as

* The King of Persia.

the great source of peril to Greece There were still rumours flying about as to the gigantic preparations which the King was said to be making against them to revenge the defeats of Marathon and Salamis Possibly such reports were stimulated by Philip himself Next there were those who were, in fact, Philip's paid agents, now, no doubt, a considerable class in several Greek states And, last of all, there was incredulity and apathy among the Athenians themselves All these adverse influences were too strong for Demosthenes, and his appeal to the patriotism of his countrymen was made in vain.

In the speech we have been describing, Demosthenes dwelt on the duty of Athens to put herself forward as the champion of Greece and of its free states In a speech delivered some months or perhaps a year afterwards, he reminds her that she ought to be the champion of democracy and of popular government. From this point of view, the oration entitled "On the freedom of the people of Rhodes" has much interest. We rather gather, from the general tone of the speech, that Philip's restlessness had ceased for a time, or at all events that he had something else to do than to threaten the possessions and the commerce of Athens It was made on the occasion of a deputation from the democratic party in Rhodes, who wished the island to pass again under Athenian control.

Rhodes had more than once been in alliance with Athens—a connection which practically implied a certain degree of subjection and dependence. With the close of the Peloponnesian War and the triumph of

Sparta, it was put under an oligarchy, which meant Spartan control. About the year 396 B C the Athenian general Conon, who had a powerful fleet in the Ægean, again forced the Rhodians to become the allies of Athens Four years afterwards a Spartan fleet appeared, and this was the signal for another revolution in the government There was, it seems, one of those horrible incidents with which Greek history is so often disfigured—a massacre of the democratic leaders and of the adherents of Athens But the oligarchy now imposed on the island did not last long The Spartan fleet was defeated, and Rhodes and most of the islands of the Ægean returned to the Athenian alliance We may take for granted that democracy was re-established Then came, in 358 B C, the Social War, the war between Athens and her allies, which broke up the second Athenian empire Of this, Rhodes was the origin Chares, the Athenian general, of whom we have already had occasion to speak, provoked and disgusted the Rhodians by plunder and extortion Cos and Chios had similar grievances, and the three islands threw off their connection with Athens, and began the Social War—Rhodes being the prime mover. They were helped by Mausolus, king of Caria and a vassal prince of the Persian empire He was a man of considerable ambition, and his idea was to annex Rhodes, which was adjacent to his own territories It was first necessary to detach it from the Athenian alliance, and Mausolus contrived, by intrigues with the oligarchical party in the island, to introduce a Carian garrison, and once more the government was revolutionised. The

people and their leaders found themselves in a hopeless plight, now that they had renounced their connection with Athens, while the oligarchy was supported by Persian influence through Mausolus When that king died and his queen Artemisia succeeded, the government became so intolerably oppressive that the popular party ventured to send an embassy to Athens, and humbly to implore relief. It was hardly to be expected that the embassy would be well received The Athenians felt that Rhodes had inflicted a grievous injury on them by plunging them in a disastrous war, which had ended in dissolving their confederacy They were in no mood to listen to the present petition. Nevertheless it was supported by Demosthenes

It is a hard matter to soothe the temper of people when they feel, as the Athenians now did, that they have suffered much from ingratitude Popular assemblies, under such circumstances, are apt to be peculiarly angry and excited All that Demosthenes could do was to appeal to the better and more generous sentiments of his countrymen They ought not, he argued, to brood over the wrongs done to them by these insignificant islanders, but to think only of what was due to Athens and to Greece It was alike their duty and interest to vindicate the freedom of an oppressed Greek people, and to stand by the policy of supporting popular and democratic government against oligarchs and tyrants Unless they resolved to act thus, the political constitution of Athens would itself be imperilled If all democracies were put down, their own would fall at last. Demosthenes, we see, was

heartily in sympathy with democracy, and regarded it as the special glory of Athens to be its champion and upholder If at times he felt its weak side, and its tendency to vacillation and irresolution, still he never seems to have doubted that it was on the whole the best and most manly type of government

Such were his reasons for counselling the assembly to listen favourably to the request for aid from the Rhodians In the following passage these views are clearly expressed —

"Observe, men of Athens, that you have waged many wars both against democracies and against oligarchies. This you know without my telling, but for what causes you have been at war with either, perhaps not one of you considers What are the causes? Against democratical states your wars have been either for private grievances, when you could not make public satisfaction, or for territory or boundaries, or a point of honour, or for the leadership of Greece Against oligarchies you fought, not for such things, but for your constitution and for freedom Therefore I would not hesitate to say that I think it better that all the Greeks should be your enemies with a popular government than your friends under an oligarchical For with free men I consider you would have no difficulty in making peace when you chose, but with people under an oligarchy, even friendship I hold to be insecure It is impossible that the few can be attached to the many, the seekers of power to the lovers of constitutional equality. I marvel none of

you consider that, when the Rhodians and nearly all people are drawn into this slavery, our constitution must be in the same peril. If all other governments are oligarchical, it is impossible that they will let your democracy alone They know too well that no other people will bring things back to freedom, therefore they will wish to destroy a government from which they apprehend mischief to themselves Ordinary wrong-doers you may regard as enemies to the sufferers, while they who subvert constitutions and transform them into oligarchies must be looked upon as the common enemies of all lovers of freedom"

In the opinion of Demosthenes it thus appears that oligarchy was in fact slavery, and wholly alien to the Greek genius The memory of the Athens of Pericles was deeply impressed on his mind But he felt he was not addressing a people singularly prone to be misled He hints plainly in this speech at the existence of an unpatriotic faction in the State

"It is difficult for you," he says, "to adopt right measures All other men have one battle to fight—namely, against their open and avowed enemies You have a double contest—that which the rest have, and also a prior and a more arduous one You must in counsel overcome a faction which acts among you in systematic opposition to the State Men who desert the politics handed down to them by their ancestors, and support oligarchical measures, should be degraded

and deprived of constitutional privileges, and disqualified from being your political advisers"

Again Demosthenes failed The bitterness of Athenian feeling towards the ungrateful islanders made the people blind to higher considerations, and Rhodes remained in the hands of an oligarchy. It was still subject to Caria, and was thus really a Persian dependency

CHAPTER VII.

PHILIP AND OLYNTHUS—SPEECHES OF DEMOSTHENES ON BEHALF OF THE OLYNTHIANS

When Demosthenes, some time in the year 352 B C, made his first speech against Philip, there were good grounds for an uneasy feeling throughout the Greek world as to the king's possible movements and designs He had already raised Macedon to a position it had never before held It had become a distinct power in the politics of Greece For a while, however, the usually active Philip seemed to be really resting from his labours, and next to nothing was heard of him Demosthenes does not so much as allude to him in his speech "for the freedom of the people of Rhodes" We may fairly infer from his silence that anything like serious apprehensions at Athens of peril from "the barbarian," as Philip was called, had died away The peace party, always strong, and able to make out a plausible case for itself, would thus be strengthened, and it would not be easy, even in the face of manifest danger, thoroughly to rouse the Athenians to a sense of the duty which they owed both to themselves and to Greece

Philip was by this time a powerful prince; but still he was as yet barely a match for Athens, had she chosen to put forth her full strength. He had an efficient army and a good revenue, and he also had the luck to have other collateral advantages. He had tools and agents in several Greek states; and he had practically on his side at Athens very many of the rich and well-to-do citizens, who shrank from the idea of a war which required personal service and exertion. It was perfectly clear that a contest with him would have been a serious undertaking. At the same time, his position, though strong, was not altogether secure. He had, as we have seen, possessed himself of some of the coast towns, and he had a fleet in the Ægean. Athens should never have allowed him to advance to this point. She had flung away opportunities; but even now it was not too late to check him with the help of a seasonable alliance. As yet he had no hold on the district known as Chalcidice, which juts out with its three peninsulas into the north-west of the Ægean. It was a valuable and commanding strip of country; and it contained thirty Greek towns, of which the chief was the city Olynthus, at the head of the Toronæan gulf. Some of these towns regarded themselves as dependencies of Olynthus, and formed what was known as the Olynthian confederacy. There was a time when even Pella, now the capital of Macedonia, was included in their number. Olynthus, indeed, had been quite the most powerful city in the north of the Ægean, and far too proud to submit to the supremacy of either Sparta or

Athens Sparta with much difficulty forced it, in 379 B C, into the Lacedæmonian confederacy, and Athens, about ten years later, very much weakened its influence by taking from it some of its territory and of its subject-towns Still, however, it was prosperous and flourishing, and it could, at an extremity, bring into the field a considerable military force, especially of cavalry Although it owed Athens a grudge, it had, as we have seen, proposed alliance when it saw its neighbour, Amphipolis, pass into the hands of Philip Athens declined the offer, and Philip was clever enough temporarily to conciliate the goodwill of the Olynthians by a trifling concession of territory,—intending, no doubt, at the first convenient moment, to pick a quarrel with them and annex the whole district It must have been easy for him, in the case of a city immediately in his own neighbourhood, to have his partisans among the citizens, and it was to this that he was indebted for his ultimate success The towns, too, which were connected with Olynthus by the loose tie of federation, were no doubt singularly open to his intrigues Still, there was the feeling that he might become a dangerous aggressor, and accordingly Olynthus decided on a change of policy, and, in 352 B C, withdrew itself from the Macedonian alliance The next step was to conclude peace with Athens, and even to show a wish for a yet closer union with that state Athens, too, now saw the advantage of such a union, and, indeed, actually made overtures to that effect, but Olynthus was not quite prepared to commit itself definitely to an Athenian alliance, which it well knew would

be equivalent to a declaration of hostility against Philip

Before long, however,—in the year B C 350, as it seems,—Philip left the Olynthians no alternative but that of seeking powerful support He made them feel that they were in imminent danger by a sudden and unprovoked attack on one of those cities of Chalcidice which would naturally look to Olynthus for sympathy and protection Their eyes were now completely opened, and they instantly sent off an embassy to Athens Philip, indeed, tried to persuade them by envoys that he had no intention of making war on them, but he could not blind them. They felt sure that they might count on a favourable reception for their envoys at Athens, and on the prospect of assistance Nor were they disappointed It was impossible for the Athenians to neglect such an opportunity They had themselves lately proposed such an alliance, and now it was offered them There could be no mistake as to the critical nature of the situation Philip had attacked and taken a Greek city, and it was hardly possible to doubt that he was feeling his way to the conquest and annexation of the entire peninsula of Chalcidice, with its thirty towns. Were he to be successful, it was clear that his power would be immensely increased Equally clear was it that Olynthus, if well supported, might effectually stop his further progress Indeed, so sanguine were the Athenians, that the general talk now was about punishing Philip for his perfidy Only one statesman and orator of any note, Demades, who was rarely to be found on the patriotic

side, and was subsequently in all probability a mere creature of Philip's, spoke against the proposed alliance

It was on this occasion that Demosthenes, in the latter half probably of the year 350 B C, delivered three memorable speeches, commonly known as the "Olynthiacs" He must have felt that the convictions of the people were with him, and yet at the same time he lets us see, by his general tone, that he almost despaired of being able to stir them to decisive action All that they could be persuaded to do was to send thirty galleys and 2000 mercenaries This poor little force could not stop Philip from continuing his attacks on the Greek towns of Chalcidice He had not yet entered Olynthian territory, or even declared war against the city, but Olynthus was sufficiently alarmed to send a second embassy to Athens, begging for more effectual help A large force was now despatched, but it consisted of mercenaries, and, unfortunately for Athens, it was under the command of a man who, though he had some military talent, was so disreputable in his life that he utterly disgusted the Olynthians

In the speech which was probably first delivered, Demosthenes seeks to encourage his countrymen to take a hopeful view of affairs by pointing out to them how it really was that Philip had risen to power, and how numerous were the elements of weakness in his kingdom and government

"He has risen by conciliating and cajoling the sim-

plicity of every people which knew him not. When one has grown strong, as he has, by rapacity and artifice, on the first pretext, the slightest reason, all is overturned and broken up. If you will perform your duties properly, not only will it appear that Philip's alliances are weak and precarious, but the poor state of his native empire and power will be revealed. To speak roundly, the Macedonian power is very well as a help, as it was for you in the time of Timotheus against the Olynthians. For them, too, against Potidæa, it was an important alliance. Lately, as you know, it aided the Thessalians in their broils and troubles against the regnant house; and indeed the accession of any power, however small, is undoubtedly useful. But of itself Macedon is feeble, and has numberless deficiencies. The very operations which seem to constitute Philip's greatness—his wars and his expeditions—have made it more insecure than it was originally. Do not imagine that Philip and his subjects have the same likings. He craves glory—makes that his passion, is ready for any consequence of adventure and peril—preferring, as he does, to a life of safety, the honour of achieving what no Macedonian king ever did before. They have no share in the glorious result: ever harassed by these excursions, they suffer and toil without ceasing, they have no leisure for their employments or private affairs, and cannot so much as dispose of their hard earnings, the markets of the country being closed on account of the war. We may easily infer from all this what is the general Macedonian feeling towards Philip. His mercenaries and guards, in-

deed, have the reputation of admirable and well-trained soldiers, but, as I heard from one who had been born in the country, they are no better than others If some of them are experienced in battles and campaigns, Philip is jealous of such men, and drives them away—so my informant tells me—wishing to keep the glory of all action to himself Or again, if a man is generally good and virtuous, unable to bear Philip's daily intemperance, drunkenness, and indecency, he is pushed aside and accounted as nobody The rest about him are brigands and parasites, and men of that character who will get drunk and perform dances which I scruple to name before you My information is undoubtedly true, for persons whom all scouted here as worse rascals than mountebanks,—Callias, the town-slave, and the like of him—antic-jesters and composers of ribald songs to lampoon their companions,—such persons Philip caresses and keeps about him. Small matters these may be thought, but to the wise they are strong indications of his character and wrongheadedness Success perhaps throws a shade over them now, prosperity is a famous hider of such blemishes, but on any miscarriage they will be fully exposed"

Though in the above passage Demosthenes speaks contemptuously of Philip, describing him as little better than a savage and barbarian, he warns his hearers that if they let Olynthus fall into his hands, he will soon carry the war into Attica itself The third and last of his three speeches was delivered when the Olynthians entreated Athens to send out a force of her own

citizens, instead of mercenaries commanded by men of the type of the officer whose misconduct, as we have seen, had given them so much offence. Of all the political orations of Demosthenes, this is perhaps the most stirring and impressive It is, in the opinion of Mr Grote, one of the most splendid harangues ever spoken. It seems that people at Athens still talked about punishing Philip, and there were orators, no doubt, who flattered them into the notion that they could do so whenever they chose "Such talk," says Demosthenes, "is founded on a false basis The facts of the case teach us a different lesson They bid us look well to our own security, that we be not ourselves the sufferers, and that we preserve our allies There *was*, indeed, a time—and that, too, within my own remembrance—when we might have held our own, and punished Philip besides, but now our first care must be to preserve our own allies" In this speech he ventures on a bold proposal, which would be sure to provoke bitter opposition from the peace party of Eubulus "Repeal such of the existing laws as are injurious at the present crisis—I mean those which regard the public entertainments fund I speak this out plainly The same men who proposed such a law ought also to take upon them to propose its repeal" In speaking thus, Demosthenes knew that he was fighting against a most powerful Athenian sentiment It would cost them a painful struggle to sacrifice the fund in question to the exigencies of a war which also demanded personal service They could hardly become like the men who won Marathon and Salamis There was the broadest

contrast between them, as Demosthenes elaborately points out in the following passage —

"Mark, Athenians, what a summary contrast may be drawn between the doings in our olden time and in yours It is a tale brief and familiar to all. Our forefathers for forty-five years took the leadership of Greece by general consent, and brought as much as ten thousand talents into the citadel, and the king of Macedonia was submissive to them, as a barbarian should be to Greeks Many glorious trophies they erected for victories won by their own fighting on land and sea, and they are the sole people in the world who have bequeathed a renown which envy cannot hurt Such were their merits in the affairs of Greece; now see what they were at home, both as citizens and men Their public works are edifices and ornaments of such beauty and grandeur in temples and their consecrated furniture, that posterity has not the power to surpass them In private they were so modest, and so attached to the principles of our constitution, that whoever knows the style of house which Aristides had or Miltiades, and the illustrious of that day, perceives it to be no grander than those of their neighbours. Their politics were not for money-making, each felt it his duty to exalt the commonwealth By a conduct honourable among the Greeks, pious to the gods, brotherlike among themselves, they justly attained a high prosperity

"So fared matters with them under the statesmen I have named. How fare they with you under the

worthies of our time? Is there any likeness or resemblance? I pass over other topics on which I could expatiate. But observe. In the utter absence of competitors (Lacedæmonians depressed, Thebans employed, none of the rest capable of disputing the supremacy with us), when we might hold our own securely and arbitrate the claims of others, we have been deprived of our rightful territory, and spent above 1500 talents to no purpose. The allies whom we gained in war we have lost in peace, and we have trained up against ourselves an enemy thus formidable For by whose contrivance but our own has Philip grown strong? This looks bad, you will say, but things at home are better. What proof is there of this? The parapets that are whitewashed, the roads that are repaired, the fountains, and such trumpery things? Look at the men of whose statesmanship these are the fruits They have risen from beggary to opulence, from obscurity to honour. Some have made their private homes more splendid than the public buildings, and as the State has declined, their fortunes have been exalted."

At last Athens roused herself to a real effort, and sent to the relief of her ally a force of more than 2000 native Athenian citizens Olynthus might yet have been saved had the Olynthians been on their guard against traitors within, and the history of Greece, perhaps of the world, might have been different Philip, meanwhile, was on the frontier of its territory, after having captured most of the towns in the peninsula At the siege of one of them, an arrow from an Olynthian

archer deprived him of an eye But early in the year 348 B C he attacked Olynthus itself, after a sudden declaration of war The Olynthians, he said, must quit their city, or he must quit Macedonia But he did not overcome them by fair fighting They were betrayed by a party among their fellow-citizens. It was by bribery, as Horace says,* that "the man of Macedon" opened the gates of Olynthus as of other cities It was to be expected that he would show no mercy The fair city was razed to the ground, and its population, with all the women and children, sold into slavery.

This awful calamity sent a shudder through the Greek world The like of it had never been seen since the great Persian invasion of Xerxes As many as thirty-two free Greek cities had utterly perished in a period of less than two years at the hands of a barbarian Divided as the Greeks were among themselves, they would have all heartily responded to the sentiment of Demosthenes that "a barbarian should be submissive to Greeks" It must have shocked and shamed them to see with their own eyes troops of poor enslaved creatures, of both sexes and of Greek blood, passing through the streets of their cities And all this was the work of a Macedonian, a man of inferior race, whom Greeks had thought it almost a condescension to notice and patronise How could they expect that he would much longer stay his hand from the destruction of the Greek cities on the Hellespont and the Propontis, and from the conquest of the rich corn-producing Chersonese? How

* Odes, III 16, 13

could they rest in peace till they saw their way to an alliance of all the states of Greece against him? It is natural for us to reason thus. But even the proximity of manifest danger will not always banish mutual jealousy and distrust Nor is it in general easy to persuade people that a power they have been accustomed to disregard and despise, though its progress may seem at times alarming, can ever become seriously formidable to themselves So it appears to have been with the Greeks After the fall of Olynthus and its confederate cities, they still clung to their false confidence

CHAPTER VIII.

DEMOSTHENES AND MEIDIAS

AN incident about this time in the life of Demosthenes, which gave occasion to one of his well-known speeches, illustrates rather strikingly some of the less agreeable phases of Athenian society There was, of course, refinement and polish of a high degree, and, on the whole, the tone and temper of the citizens seem to have been humane and generous But still, even at Athens, the scandals and breaches of good taste and manners, which one would fear are all but inseparable from democracy, now and then made their appearance Political rancour and party violence reached an outrageous length, and under their shelter the grossest acts of wrong were from time to time committed with impunity A rich man, if he chose, might have plenty of influence in the State, and along with this he would have at his command many opportunities of injuring and oppressing those whom he personally disliked It appears that there were several such men at Athens—men who no doubt aspired to imitate the grand airs and fashionable extravagance of Alcibiades, who, clever and accomplished as he was, at last made himself intolerable to the citi-

zens of a free state Many of these had nothing but riches to recommend them, and were pestilent fellows whose idea of life was really nothing better than coarse, vulgar rowdyism.

It was the fate of Demosthenes to come into collision with a man of this class Early in life, at the time when he was engaged in his suit with his guardians, he provoked the enmity of Meidias, a rich, well-born man, and one of the constant supporters of the peace party of Eubulus The quarrel between them originated in the following singular way The brother of Meidias, Thrasylochus, offered, according to a practice allowed at Athens in the case of a trierarchy, or the providing a war-ship for the State, to exchange properties with Demosthenes, and, in the event of the offer being accepted, he gave the guardians privately to understand that the lawsuit should be dropped In this manner he sought to defeat the legal proceedings which Demosthenes was taking, and, in fact, to get his just claims set aside The two brothers, it appears, on one occasion actually rushed into his house, behaved with excessive violence, and used coarse and ribald language in the presence of his sister, then a mere girl For this outrage Demosthenes sued Meidias, and recovered damages, but he had not been able to obtain payment From that time the man became his bitter enemy, and worried and persecuted him in every possible way His animosity was all the more virulent as he was also politically opposed to Demosthenes In the year 351 B C both served in a military expedition to Eubœa—Meidias in the cavalry, Demosthenes as a foot soldier. Neither

of them was for any length of time with the army. Demosthenes went back to Athens, on the pretext that he had to undertake the important public duty of choragus or choir-director for his tribe. It seems that he undertook this quite voluntarily, but his enemy hinted that he had merely done so to escape the hardships of campaigning. And he followed up the taunt with gross insult and outrage. The choir-director, as we have seen, usually appeared, when the ceremony was celebrated, in a special dress, and wore a crown; and Demosthenes had ordered for the occasion a particularly magnificent robe and a crown of gold. Meidias contrived to break into the embroiderer's shop where the dress had been prepared, and spoilt the finery in which Demosthenes was to show himself. He went further; he struck him on the face before the assembled audience, and, according to Demosthenes' own account, was the means of losing him the prize, which his chorus would have won. The spectators were indignant; and Meidias was convicted of the crime of sacrilege, as it would seem, on the very same day by an assembly held in the theatre. But the affair could not rest here. It was for a court of justice to decide how he was to be punished. Clearly, it was right that Demosthenes should prosecute him, and this he did. He was thirty-two years of age at the time. Meidias tried to defeat the prosecution by indicting Demosthenes on the charge of desertion of military service, on the ground that he had left the army in Eubœa and returned to Athens. The indictment came to nothing; but Demosthenes, it appears, was not decisively successful in his proceed-

ings against Meidias He was reproached by his rival, Æschines, with having compromised the affair At all events, it is not certain whether the case was ever brought to trial But the tone of the extant speech certainly implies this, and it is really difficult to suppose, looking at some passages in which he takes credit to himself for having rejected a compromise and having brought the defendant to trial, that it was merely written and never delivered This is, we know, a very general opinion, and there are reasons for it, but in the face of the speech as it has come down to us, it seems a question whether it can be sustained

The tone of the speech is savage and violent It is full of furious invective But at least it is interesting as giving us a glimpse into some of the abuses arising out of wealth and insolence even in a democratical community like Athens We have an amusing picture of Meidias himself, and though perhaps it is a caricature, it was no doubt typical of a really existing class He had, it is said, got himself elected a cavalry officer on the strength of being a rich man, and yet he could not so much as ride through the market-place His single act of munificence was giving the State a war-ship, when he knew he was not likely to incur any personal danger He delighted in making a vulgar parade of his wealth He had built a house at Eleusis, one of the suburbs of Athens, so big that it darkened all the houses in the place He used to take his wife to the Mysteries, or to any place she had a fancy for visiting, in a carriage and pair He would push through the market-place and the leading thorough-

fares, talking of his dinners and his drinking-horns so loud that all the passers-by could hear "Do not," says Demosthenes in his speech, "honour and admire things of this kind—do not judge of liberality by these tests, whether a man builds splendid houses or has many female servants, or handsome furniture, but look who is spirited and liberal in those things which the bulk of you share the enjoyment of Meidias, you will find, has nothing of that kind about him"

"Will you," he asks, "let Meidias escape because he is rich? This is pretty much the cause of his insolence Therefore you should rather take away the means which enable him to be insolent than pardon him in consideration of them To allow an audacious blackguard like him to have wealth at his command is to put arms in his hands against yourselves"

"I take it you all know his disposition, his offensive and overbearing behaviour, and some of you, I daresay, have been wondering about things which they know themselves, but have not heard from me now. Many of the injured parties do not even like to tell all that they have suffered, dreading this man's litigiousness, and the fortune which makes such a despicable fellow strong and terrible For when a rogue and a bully is supported by wealth and power, it is a wall of defence against any attack Let Meidias be stripped of his possessions, and most likely he will not play the bully If he should, he will be less regarded than the humblest man among you, he will rail and bawl to no purpose then, and be punished for any misbehaviour like the

rest of us. Now, it seems, Polyeuctus and Timocrates and the ragamuffin Euctemon are his body-guard, these are a sort of mercenaries that he keeps about him, and others also besides them, a confederate band of witnesses, who never trouble you openly, but by simply nodding their heads affirm and lie with perfect ease By the powers, I do not believe they get any good from him, but they are wonderful people for making up to the rich, and attending on them, and giving evidence All this, I take it, is a danger to any of you that live quietly by yourselves as well as you can, and therefore it is that you assemble together, in order that, though taken separately you are overmatched by any one either in friends or riches, or in anything else, you may collectively be more than a match for him and put a stop to his insolence"

Meidias, according to Demosthenes, was at heart a coward, and would be sure to make an abject appeal to the people's pity The following passage is towards the end of the speech —

"I know he will have his children in court and whine, he will talk very humbly, shedding tears and making himself as piteous as he can Yet the more he humbles himself, the more ought you to detest him. Why? Because if the outrageousness and violence of his conduct arose out of his inability to be humble, it would have been fair to make some allowance for his temper, and the accident which made him what he is But if he knows how to behave himself properly when

he likes, and has adopted a different line of conduct by choice, surely it is quite evident that if he eludes justice now, he will again become the same Meidias that you know him for. You must not listen to him, then, you must not let the present occasion, when he is playing the hypocrite, have more weight and influence with you than the whole past of which you have had experience.

"Perhaps he will say of me, This man is an orator. Well, if one who advises what he thinks for your good, without being troublesome or intrusive, is an orator, I would not deny or refuse the name. But if an orator be what (to my knowledge and to your knowledge) certain of our speakers are—impudent fellows, enriched at your expense—I can hardly be that, for I have received nothing from you, but spent all my substance upon you, except a mere trifle. Probably, also, Meidias will say that all my speech is prepared. I admit that I have got it up as well as I possibly could. I were a complete simpleton indeed, if, having suffered and still suffering such injuries, I took no pains about the mode of stating them to you. I maintain that Meidias has composed my speech, he who has supplied the facts which the speech is about, may most fairly be deemed its author, not he who has merely prepared it or studied how to lay an honest case before you."

The speech is not, we think, one of Demosthenes' best, but it is often ingenious, and it certainly shows singular power of invective. It suggests that what we

should call very loose practice on the part of an advocate was tolerated in an Athenian court Demosthenes by no means confines himself to the outrage committed on him by Meidias, but speaks of the injuries he had inflicted on others, and indeed attacks generally the man's whole life and character The attack may have been deserved, still, the manner of it, and the circumstances under which it was made, point to the existence of dangers at Athens to which any citizen might suddenly find himself exposed.

CHAPTER IX.

PHILIP MASTER OF THERMOPYLÆ AND OF PHOCIS—PEACE BETWEEN HIM AND ATHENS—COUNSEL OF DEMOSTHENES

We now enter on a period of melancholy disgrace and humiliation for the Greek race Within two years the barbarian destroyer of Olynthus becomes master of the key to Greece, the famous pass of Thermopylæ, and of the whole of Phocis, the country in which stood the mountains of Parnassus, and the old and venerable temple of Delphi Events more terrific and momentous, says Demosthenes in one of his speeches, had never occurred either in his own time or in that of any of his predecessors Athens was forced into a miserably ignominious peace, and many of her citizens had stooped to the infamy of being the mere tools and paid agents of the "man of Macedon" Even Isocrates, true Greek as he was in all his sympathies, as well as thoroughly upright and high-minded, was now convinced that the best wisdom for Greece was to put itself under the leadership of this wonderfully successful prince, and allow him to conduct its united armies to the conquest of Persia

The history of these five years is somewhat intricate. It will be enough for the present purpose to summarise the general course of events. The period was mainly occupied in negotiations on the part of Athens with Philip. These were ill-managed, and had a most disastrous conclusion. One motive which no doubt prompted them was, the very natural desire of recovering those Athenian citizens who had been captured with the Olynthians. Toward Athens Philip had usually shown himself gracious and conciliatory. So, when the relatives of two of the captives, both men of high position, presented themselves as suppliants before the Assembly, it was decided to communicate with Philip. A favourable answer was received, and we have reason to believe that now there was an inclination in favour of peace. At first it was otherwise. Even Eubulus and his party, who held war the worst of all evils, were constrained to speak of Philip as an enemy. They went further, they attempted, by embassies into the Peloponnese, to raise some sort of coalition against him. Among other places they visited Megalopolis, where, however, their overtures met with but a cold reception. Athens, as I have had occasion to notice, had made a blunder some years before in not following the counsel of Demosthenes when he advised that the Megalopolitans should be supported against Sparta. Now she found that they were not to be roused into action by what no doubt seemed to them a comparatively remote danger. There would, too, have been some political inconvenience in an alliance with them. Such an alliance would have meant a rupture with Sparta, and a

relations between them, which subsequently gave occasion to their memorable oratorical contest, date from this time. We have for the most part to depend on the conflicting statements of the two orators for our knowledge of the circumstances by which Athens, two years after the ruin of Olynthus, was drawn into a shameful peace. It almost seems as if she wilfully allowed herself to make one stupid blunder after another. But this is not a true view of the case. Athens, no doubt, might have done much better under the guidance of really firm and very skilful statesmanship; but it must be remembered that the situation was extremely complicated, and it was barely possible to foresee even approximately the course and tendency of events. After the destruction of Olynthus it must have seemed clear that Philip was the enemy of Greece, and that, consequently, it was the duty and policy of Athens to regard him in this light, and decline all negotiations with him. But, as we have seen, Athens was not able to organise a confederacy of the Greek states against him, and if she had decided to fight him, she must have felt that she would have to fight single-handed. When to this consideration was added the desire to recover some of her own citizens, now prisoners in Philip's hands—when, too, she found that he was still courteous and conciliatory—we cannot be surprised that she shrank from a struggle which would have tasked her resources to the uttermost. It might, perhaps, have been better and safer for her to have made any sacrifice, and have at once

decided on war against the destroyer of thirty Greek cities, but it was not easy for her to see her way to such a step alone and unsupported.

The relations, too, of the states of Greece to each other and to Athens presented many difficulties. Never had there been a time when it was harder to unite them. Sparta, the leading state of the Peloponnese, could under no circumstances be easily stimulated into exertions in the Greek cause. Her statesmen were apt to take a narrow and selfish view of the politics of Greece. The other states of the Peloponnese were more afraid of being oppressed by Spartan ascendancy, of which they had had actual experience, than of danger from Macedon, of which they knew next to nothing. Here, therefore, there was but a poor prospect of coalition. Thebes and Phocis, the two remaining states, were themselves engaged in the Sacred War. Phocis had appropriated to itself the treasures of the temple of Delphi, and had thus put itself in a false position before the Greek world, as being guilty of sacrilege. And as for Thebes, it had no really great and far-sighted statesmen, nor had it, to the extent which Athens still had, a sense of its duty to Greece. Its policy was often particularly selfish, and even under the most favourable circumstances, it would have been most difficult to have persuaded Thebans to co-operate heartily with Athenians. So anxious was it to crush its Phocian neighbours, with whom it had long been involved in a troublesome war, that when Philip undertook to crush them it welcomed the offer. The bait he held out was tempting, but the Thebans ought

to have had enough Greek sentiment not to listen to his proposals, the acceptance of which would probably lead to the conquest and destruction of a Greek people by a barbarian. Philip, of course, could justify himself by saying that he was attacking those who were, in fact, the enemies of Greece, inasmuch as by the pillage of the sacred treasures of Delphi they had outraged the best and truest Greek feeling. But to conquer Phocis he must be master of Thermopylæ; and if he once gained this position, it could hardly be doubted that he would be able to do as he pleased, and that Thebes, if he chose to pick a quarrel with her, would be in the utmost jeopardy.

All this was recognised by Demosthenes, and, as it seems, by the Athenians generally. They were quite alive to the importance of garrisoning Thermopylæ, and they sent a force there. But the Phocian leader, Phalæcus, from some sort of jealousy towards Athens, and a fear that political intrigues would be set on foot against him to deprive him of his influence with his countrymen, refused to admit the Athenian troops into possession of the important pass. It was now difficult for the Athenians to know how to act. For anything they knew to the contrary, Phalæcus might have some understanding with Philip, and be willing to surrender the pass to him. This position was perplexing and disheartening, while to Philip it was a grand opportunity. If he could contrive to conclude peace with Athens, and to get the Phocians excluded from it, he would be able, with some sort of excuse, to occupy Thermopylæ and invade Phocis. And in doing this, he would have Thebes on his side.

After much negotiation, this was the result which he managed to accomplish Peace was concluded between Philip and Athens, their respective allies being included. While the negotiations were pending, and the Athenian envoys were waiting at Pella for an interview with the King, he was in Thrace, and gained some important successes over the chief of the country, Cersobleptes, at this time an ally of Athens The effect of this was to weaken and endanger the hold which Athens had on the Thracian Chersonese,—a specially valuable possession. Indeed, peace was made ultimately on terms which the Athenians had not originally contemplated This, Demosthenes maintained, was due to the treacherous connivance of Æschines and of some of the other envoys, who loitered at Pella when they ought to have at once made their way to Philip in Thrace, and settled matters with him on the basis which had been mutually agreed on But the most terrible mistake was the exclusion of the Phocians from the treaty The Athenians were somehow cajoled into believing that Philip meant them well, and even Demosthenes did not at the time protest against the abandonment of Phocis The error was irretrievable, for it amounted to nothing less than letting Philip become master of Thermopylæ The Phocians could not hold the pass without support When they found themselves isolated, their leader, Phalæcus, after being summoned by Philip to give up possession of it, consented to do so under a convention, and withdrew his forces The surrender of Phocis to Philip followed as a matter of course He dealt with

the country and its towns as he had dealt two years before with Chalcidice and its towns Phocis was utterly ruined Another Greek state had now fallen before the Macedonian destroyer, and the prospects of Greece generally might well seem gloomy

The calamity, however, was not so shocking to the Greek world as one might have supposed it would have been The Phocians, as has been explained, had been offenders against the common law and traditions of Greece, and their destruction might be regarded as a divine judgment Even the man who executed it, though a barbarian according to Greek notions, might have some claim to be considered as the representative of a sacred cause In one sense he had been doing the very thing which the voice of Greece had been calling for The Thebans were especially grateful to him, and forgot in their blindness the mischief which by this last stroke he had inflicted on Greece Now that the Phocians had ceased to exist as a Greek people, their place in the Amphictyonic Council was, when the great Pythian festival came round after a four years' interval, conferred on Philip He was even nominated president of the august ceremony. In all this Thebes heartily concurred, as also did several smaller states. Athens and Sparta, indeed, held aloof But when Philip's envoys announced to the Athenians the new position he had acquired with the consent of so many Greek states, they did not like to refuse concurrence in what a large part of Greece seemed to approve

Strong as Philip was before, he was now immensely strengthened, and fresh chances were open to him for

interfering actively in Greek politics. Membership of the Amphictyonic Council was, in fact, equivalent to naturalisation. Philip was now, in theory at least, a Greek, and no longer a barbarian. The Athenian Isocrates could, with a show of reason, address a letter to him, inviting him to reconcile under his leadership the great states of Greece, and invade Asia with a view to the overthrow of the Persian empire and the liberation of the Asiatic Greeks. But the Athenians generally felt deep anger and vexation at the issue of events, and could hardly make up their minds to sit still under the disgrace of the surrender of Thermopylæ and the intrusion of a foreign prince into the heart of Greece.

Demosthenes, as has been said, had no sympathy with the ideas of Isocrates. He still clung to the belief in a general independent Greek world, of which his own state ought to be the most perfect representative. Yet on this occasion he spoke in favour of the inglorious peace just concluded. Miserable as it was, he argued that to break it would be to give Philip a pretext for uniting other Greek states in war against them. The tone of his speech is confident and decided. The peace was bad and dishonourable, no doubt, but to repudiate it would be simply madness. It would be putting themselves gratuitously in the wrong. "The shadow at Delphi," as he calls the subject of the Sacred war which had been waged between Thebes and Phocis, was not worth fighting for, more especially when they would have to fight a Greek confederacy. It could not have been altogether pleasant to Demosthenes

to advise acquiescence in a peace which he and his countrymen generally felt to be humiliating But as they had drifted into it, all they could now do was to make the best of it, and guard themselves from new aggressions

astute to expose himself to any serious risks His policy was to secure a yet firmer footing in the Greek world Athens, he knew, was his only formidable enemy There was still a possibility that she might rouse Greece against him, and overpower him by a coalition of which she would be the head He must therefore endeavour to isolate her by political intrigues, and, by driving her out of the Chersonese, strike a fatal blow at the commerce on which her prosperity largely depended

With these views he began to meddle with the politics of the Peloponnese There circumstances favoured his designs He had the opportunity of playing the part of champion and deliverer to the oppressed Sparta was the great object of dread to the people of Argos, of Megalopolis, and of Messene. They could not imagine that they had any other enemy to fear Thebes had hitherto been their protector, but Thebes was no longer in a condition to command their confidence It was to Philip that they now not unnaturally looked It was hardly to be expected that they would abstain from invoking his aid against a pressing and immediate danger, because it may have been suggested to them that they were thereby imperilling the best interests of Greece What they wanted was help against Sparta, and this Philip promised them He would, he said, soon be with them in person, and meanwhile he sent them some troops, and bade Sparta refrain from any attempt on Messene

This was a clever movement on Philip's part, and Athens could not very well protest against it or seek to thwart it All that could be said was that, judging

suspicious towards him, and even, in fact, disregarding the spirit of the peace recently concluded The envoys from Argos and Messene might fairly complain of the seeming connection between Athens and Sparta, and argue that it was a menace to the liberties of the Peloponnese It was a great and critical occasion, and called for able statesmanship It was an opportunity to raise yet higher the character of Demosthenes as a public adviser, and he availed himself of it In the speech which he delivered in B C 344, known as the second Philippic, he spoke out in the plainest language both against Philip's insinuations and against the ill-timed complaints of the Peloponnesian envoys He vindicated at the same time his own policy, and denounced the Philippising faction, in which his rival Æschines was now a conspicuous figure

Philip, he declares, was the great aggressor of the age; he was a plotter against the whole of Greece He repeats what he had said as ambassador to the people of Messene by way of warning from the past —

"Ye men of Messene, how do you think the Olynthians would have looked to hear anything against Philip at those times when he surrendered to them Anthemus, which all former kings of Macedonia claimed, when he cast out the Athenian colonists and gave them Potidæa, thereby incurring your enmity, and giving them the land to enjoy? Think you that they expected such treatment as they got, or would they have believed it if they had been told? Nevertheless, after enjoying for a brief space the possessions of others, they are for

a long period deprived by Philip of their own, shamefully expelled—not only vanquished, but betrayed by one another and sold. In truth, these too close connections with despots are not safe for free states. There are manifold contrivances for the guarding and defending of cities—as ramparts, walls, trenches, and the like; these are all made with hands and demand an outlay. But there is one common safeguard in the nature of wise men which is a good security for all, but especially for democracies against despots. What do I mean? Mistrust. Keep this; hold to this; preserve this only, and you can never be injured. What do ye desire? Freedom. Then do you not see that with this Philip's very titles are at variance? Every king and despot is a foe to freedom, an antagonist to laws. Will ye not beware, lest in seeking to be delivered from war you find a master?"

Yet in a speech delivered three years afterwards, which we shall shortly notice, Demosthenes suggests that they might entertain the thought of seeking aid even from Persia. The suggestion, perhaps, was only made in desperation, and must not be taken as representing anything like a change of political sentiments. To the last Demosthenes was a believer in free and popular governments as opposed to tyrannies and despotisms. Still, as he has to admit, such governments are liable to be out-manœuvred by cunning diplomacy. So it had been with themselves, as he reminds them in the present speech. They had been persuaded to believe that Philip, if he became master of Thermo-

pylæ, would humble their old enemy Thebes, and give them Oropus and Eubœa in exchange for Amphipolis

"All these declarations on the hustings," he says, with the Philippising party in his eye, "I am sure you remember, though you are not famous for remembering injuries While the mischief is only-coming and preparing, whilst we hear one another speak, I wish every man, though he know it well, to be reminded who it was persuaded you to abandon Phocis and Thermopylæ, by the possession of which Philip commands the road to Attica and Peloponnese, and has brought it to this, that you have now to deliberate, not about claims and interests abroad, but about the defence of your home and a war in Attica, which will be a grievous shock to every citizen when it comes, and indeed it commenced from that day of your infatuation Had you not been then deceived, there would be nothing now to distress the State"

One point insisted on in this speech is, that the struggle in the Greek states was no longer, as it had hitherto been, one between aristocracy and democracy, but between Philip's party and its opponents

The following year witnessed a memorable contest between Demosthenes and Æschines It arose out of the embassies to Philip and the various negotiations with him, which ended, as we have seen, so unfortunately for Athens and Greece Æschines, it will be remembered, was an adherent of the peace party of Eubulus, and Demosthenes now made a great effort

to discredit him, as being, in fact, corruptly responsible for Philip's occupation of Thermopylæ, the destruction of Phocis, and the new and powerful position which he had been able to assume in Greece The pleadings of both the orators in this great cause have come down to us, and they are specially valuable as supplying us with materials for the history of an intricate period. Demosthenes presses his attack with great vehemence, and resorts, as he well knew how, to the most savage invective To our minds it is, as a work of art, one of the least pleasing and satisfactory of his speeches There is a coarseness and vulgarity about the vituperation—and that, too, under circumstances in which very strong condemnation of his rival must have been felt to have been a mistake He taunts Æschines with having been all along the conscious tool of Philip's cunning policy, when it was perfectly well known that he had himself, from want of clear foresight perhaps, not steadily opposed that policy at more than one critical point He was not successful, but the victory won by his rival was a very poor one Æschines was acquitted only by thirty votes This implies that, on the whole, public opinion was against him, though it may have been felt that distinct and positive evidence was wanting We may infer that Demosthenes' political influence was very great He failed probably because, as Dr Thirlwall remarks, he had an extremely intricate case, and could not attack Æschines effectively without having from time to time to defend himself and explain certain ambiguities in his own share in the negotiations.

Athens, as has been said, was now particularly vulnerable in the Thracian Chersonese and the north of the Ægean. To these points the restless Philip directed his attention in 342-341 B.C. It could not be doubted that he was meditating the annexation of this important district, and the conquest of the Greek cities on the northern shores of the Propontis—Perinthus, Selymbria, and above all Byzantium. If he could achieve this, Athens would be completely paralysed. Her maritime supremacy would be at an end, and her supplies of corn would be cut off. She would cease to exist as a commercial power. Philip's designs on Athens in Thrace were not unlike those of Napoleon I. on England in his attacks on Egypt and Spain. It was argued in Parliament at the time, that in carrying on war with France in these countries, we were practically standing on our own defence. Demosthenes took the same line of argument against Philip. A force had been sent out from Athens to the Chersonese as an army of observation on Philip's movements. The general, Diopeithes, was an able, energetic man, and it is interesting to us to know that he was the father of the poet Menander. There were some disputes between the Athenian colonists and the Cardians to the north of the Chersonese. Philip seemed disposed to favour the latter, upon which Diopeithes at once retaliated by invading Macedonian territory. He gained some successes, and for a while even deprived Philip of some of his recent conquests. Considering that the peace of 346 B.C. was still in force, Athens may be said to have been put in the wrong by her over-zealous general, and

Philip sent the people a despatch in which he formally complained of these encroachments All his political adherents at Athens clamoured for the instant recall of Diopeithes Like other Athenian generals, Diopeithes, who commanded some mercenaries, was almost compelled to provide for them by expeditions which could not be strictly justified Still, it might be truly argued in his favour that he was really repelling a dangerous aggressor And on this ground Demosthenes pleaded his cause, and argued that he should be continued in his command The speech he delivered on this occasion —"On behalf of the Chersonese," as it has been entitled—contains the clear and powerful reasonings of a sagacious statesman

The people, he maintains, ought to deal with their enemies before they call their own servants to account. It was very well for Philip to complain of an infringement of the peace in this particular instance, but was it not notorious that he had himself deprived Athens of her own possessions? It was a mere blind to say, as some said, that they must make up their minds to have either war or peace "If it appears that from the very first Philip has robbed us of our territories, and has been all along incessantly gathering the spoil of other nations, Greek and barbarian, for the materials of an attack upon you, what do they mean by saying we must have war or peace?"

"Consider what is actually going on Philip is staying with a large army in Thrace, and sending for reinforcements, as eye-witnesses report, from Macedonia

and Thessaly. Now, should he wait for the trade-winds, and then march to the siege of Byzantium, think ye that the Byzantines would persist in their present folly, and would not invite and implore your aid? I do not believe it. No, they will receive any people, even those they distrust more than us, sooner than surrender their city to Philip—unless, indeed, he is beforehand with them and captures it. If, then, we are unable to sail northward, and there be no help at hand, nothing can prevent their destruction. Well, let us say the Byzantines are infatuated and besotted. Very likely, yet they must be rescued, because it is good for Athens. Nor is it clear that he will not attack the Chersonese, nay, if we may judge from the letter he sent us, he says he will chastise the people in the Chersonese. If the present army be kept on foot, it will be able to defend that country, and attack some of Philip's dominions. But if it become disbanded, what shall we do if he march against the Chersonese? With such facts and arguments before you, so far from disbanding this army which Diopeithes is endeavouring to organise for Athens, you ought yourselves to provide an additional one, to support him with funds, and with other friendly co-operation."

In the following passage he inveighs against his political opponents, and the extreme licence of speech allowed to them in practically advocating the interests of Philip:—

"This, you must be convinced, is a struggle for existence. You cannot overcome your enemies abroad

till you have punished your enemies, his ministers, at home They will be the stumbling-blocks which prevent you reaching the others Why, do you suppose, Philip now insults you? To other people he at least renders services though he deceives them, while he is already threatening you Look, for instance, at the Thessalians It was by many benefits conferred on them that he seduced them into their present bondage And then the Olynthians, again,—how he cheated them, first giving them Potidæa and several other places, is really beyond description Now he is enticing the Thebans by giving up to them Bœotia, and delivering them from a toilsome and vexatious war Each of these peoples did get a certain advantage, but some of them have suffered what all the world knows, others will suffer whatever may hereafter befall them As for you, I recount not all that has been taken from you, but how shamefully have you been treated and despoiled! Why is it that Philip deals so differently with you and with others? Because yours is the only state in Greece in which the privilege is allowed of speaking for the enemy, and a citizen taking a bribe may safely address the Assembly, though you have been robbed of your dominions It was not safe at Olynthus to be Philip's advocate unless the Olynthian commonalty had shared the advantage by possession of Potidæa It was not safe in Thessaly to be Philip's advocate unless the people of Thessaly had secured the advantage by Philip's expelling their tyrants and restoring the synod at Pylæ It was not safe in Thebes, until he gave up Bœotia to them and destroyed the

Phocians Yet at Athens, though Philip has deprived you of Amphipolis and the territory round Cardia—nay, is making Eubœa a fortress as a check upon us, and is advancing to attack Byzantium—it is safe to speak in Philip's behalf"

He thus concludes the speech —

"I will sum up my advice and sit down You must contribute money, and maintain the existing troops, rectifying any abuse you may discover, but not, on any accusation which somebody may bring, disbanding the force Send out ambassadors everywhere to instruct, to warn, to accomplish what they can for Athens Further, I say, punish your corrupt statesmen, execrate them at all times and places, and thereby prove that men of virtue and honourable conduct have consulted wisely both for others and for themselves"

It is satisfactory to learn that this speech was successful, and that Diopeithes, who certainly deserved well of his country, was continued in his command, and the Chersonese saved for Athens

Demosthenes was now the leading Athenian statesman He had shaken the influence of the peace party, and he seems to have still further strengthened his political position by a speech delivered about three months after that which we have just been considering The speech in question has always been regarded as one of singular power As far as we know, nothing new had occurred, but Philip was still in Thrace, threatening the Chersonese and the northern shores of the

Propontis, and clearly had designs on Perinthus and Byzantium. Demosthenes repeats in substance the arguments he had recently urged. Greece, he says, is in the utmost peril from its miserable divisions and apathy, and from the unique position which it has allowed Philip to attain. As for Athens, "her affairs have been brought so low by carelessness and negligence, I fear it is a hard truth to say that if all the orators had sought to suggest, and you to pass, resolutions for the utter ruining of the commonwealth, we could not, methinks, be worse off than we are." It had been said at Athens in the speeches of some of the orators, "Wait till Philip declares war, and then it will be time to discuss how we shall resist him." Demosthenes' reply is,—

"If we wait till Philip avows that he is at war with us, we are the simplest of mortals; for he would not declare war, though he marched even against Athens and Piræus—at least, if we may judge from his conduct to others. When he sends his mercenaries into the Chersonese, which the king of Persia and all the Greeks acknowledge to be yours, what can be the meaning of such proceedings? He says he is not at war. But I cannot admit such conduct to be an observance of the peace. Far otherwise. I say that by his present advance into Thrace, by his intrigues in the Peloponnese, by the whole course of his operations with his army, he has been breaking the peace and making war upon you,—unless, indeed, you will say that those who establish military engines are not at war until they apply them

to the walls But that you will not say, for whoever prepares and contrives the means for my conquest, is at war with me before he hurls the dart or draws the bow. Should anything happen, what is the risk you run? The alienation of the Hellespont, the subjection of Megara and Eubœa to your enemy, the siding of the Peloponnese with him Then, can I allow that one who sets such an engine at work against Athens is at peace with her? Quite the contrary. From the day that he destroyed Phocis I date his commencement of hostilities So widely do I differ from your other advisers that I deem any discussion about the Chersonese or Byzantium out of place Succour them —I advise that, watch that no harm befalls them, send all necessary supplies to your troops in that quarter but let your deliberations be for the safety of all Greece, as being in the most extreme jeopardy"

The Greeks, he declares, must have utterly forgotten themselves in allowing a foreigner and a barbarian a licence in dealing with their affairs which they had never thought of according to such states as Athens or Sparta This was monstrous, and implied a fatal degeneracy

"I observe," says the orator, "that all people beginning from yourselves have conceded to Philip a right which in former days was the subject of contest in every Greek war What is this? The right of doing what he pleases, openly fleecing and pillaging the Greeks one after another, attacking and enslaving

established tetrarchies, to parcel her out, not only by cities, but by provinces, for subjection? Are not the states of Eubœa now governed by despots, and Eubœa is an island near to Thebes and to Athens? Does he not expressly write in his epistles, "I am at peace with those who are willing to obey me"? Neither Greek nor barbaric land contains the man's ambition. And we, the Greek community, seeing and hearing this, instead of sending embassies to one another about it and expressing our indignation, are in such a miserable state, so entrenched in our separate towns, that to this day we can attempt nothing that interest or necessity requires; we cannot combine for succour and alliance; we look unconcernedly on the man's growing power, each resolving to enjoy the interval in which another is destroyed, not caring nor striving for the salvation of Greece. Whatever wrong the Greeks sustained from Lacedæmonians or from us, was at least inflicted by a genuine Greek people. It might be felt in the same manner as if a lawful son, born to a large fortune, committed some fault or error in the management of it. On that ground, one would consider him open to censure and to reproach; yet it could not be said he was an alien and not an heir to the property which he so dealt with. But if a slave or a spurious child wasted and spoilt that in which he had no interest, how much more heinous and hateful would all have pronounced it!"

On the decay of patriotism and the venality of public men throughout Greece, he speaks thus:—

"There must be some cause, some good reason, why the Greeks were so eager for liberty then, and now are so eager for servitude There was something in the hearts of the multitude then which there is not now, which overcame the wealth of Persia, and maintained the freedom of Greece, and quailed not under any battle by sea or land, the loss whereof has ruined all and thrown the Greek world into confusion What was this? No subtlety or cleverness, simply this, that whoever took a bribe from the aspirants to power or the corrupters of Greece was universally abhorred It was a fearful thing to be convicted of bribery, the severest punishment was inflicted on the guilty, and there was no intercession or pardon The favourable moments for enterprise which fortune frequently offers to the careless against the vigilant, to them that will do nothing against those that discharge their entire duty, could not be bought from orators or generals, no more could mutual concord, nor distrust of tyrants and barbarians, nor anything of the kind But now all such principles have been sold as in open market, and principles imported in exchange by which Greece is ruined and diseased What are they? Envy, when a man gets a bribe, laughter, if he confesses it, mercy to the convicted, hatred of those who denounce the crime,—all the usual accompaniments of corruption. For as to ships and men, and revenues and abundance of other material—all, in fact, that may be reckoned as constituting national strength, assuredly the Greeks of our day are more fully and perfectly supplied with such advantages than Greeks of the olden times But they are all rendered

useless, unavailable, unprofitable by the agency of these traffickers"

This is indeed a powerful denunciation of a state of things which we know to be very possible, in which the corruption of public men is treated as a joke, and when exposed and detected, is hardly thought to deserve reprobation and punishment If all that was best in Greece had really so utterly died out, it would seem that Demosthenes was wasting his breath in idle declamation But we may well believe that he clung to the old Athenian ideal, and could not bring himself to despair of his country And it is certain that this and the preceding speech produced an effect, and Athens made efforts which were temporarily successful "The work of saving Greece," he told them before he sat down, "belongs to you, this privilege your ancestors bequeathed to you as the prize of many perilous exertions"

As one might expect, there were those who sought to persuade the Athenians that Philip's power for aggression had been greatly exaggerated, and that he was by no means so formidable as Sparta had once been, when she led the Peloponnesian confederacy Demosthenes points out that Philip had introduced what was really a new method of warfare Athens and Sparta, in the height of their power, had only been able to command a citizen militia from the states in league with them Such a force was prepared only for a summer campaign, and could not always follow up its blows effectively Philip, on the other hand, could

take the field in winter as well as in summer His troops were never disbanded, and they were under his sole direction He was, in fact, to the Greeks what Napoleon was to the Austrians An able and restless despot, at the head of a well-trained standing army, will often, for a time at least, have a decided advantage in war over a free and constitutional state

The next year, 340 B C, events occurred which completely justified the warnings of Demosthenes Philip attempted the conquest of the cities on the Propontis, Perinthus and Byzantium He was foiled by prompt intervention from Athens There was for a brief space a doubt whether Byzantium would accept Athenian aid, so thoroughly had the city become estranged from Athens in consequence of the Social War Demosthenes went thither at the head of an embassy, and the result was, that an alliance was concluded Shortly afterwards, the conscientious and much-respected Phocion, though he differed politically from Demosthenes, sailed thither with a powerful armament and a force of Athenian citizens Through the influence of Leon, one of the leading citizens of Byzantium, who had been Phocion's fellow-student at Athens in the Academy, they were admitted into the city, and charmed the Byzantines by their quiet and admirable behaviour Succours also arrived from some of the islands of the Ægean—from Cos, Chios, Rhodes Byzantium was now all but impregnable, and Philip was obliged to abandon the siege both of it and of Perinthus Even his own territory was invaded by Phocion, and many of the Macedonian cruisers were captured For Philip

it was a year of reverses, as for Athens it was one of success and glory The two cities on the Propontis decreed her a vote of thanks, and displayed their gratitude by erecting three colossal statues, representing Athens receiving a wreath at their hands in testimony of their deliverance Demosthenes, too, had his reward No one could question that to his counsels and energy they owed in great measure the preservation of the Chersonese and their supremacy at sea Corn cheap and abundant was for the present assured to them The Athenian people were in a pleased and grateful mood, and the Assembly passed a vote of thanks to Demosthenes, which none of his many political enemies dared to oppose.

CHAPTER XI

CHÆRONEIA—FALL OF GREECE

We must now hurry on to the decisive catastrophe which sealed the fate of Greece and of its political independence Its glory had been to have been represented by an aggregate of free states, of which Athens was immeasurably the first in culture and civilisation Its weakness and curse had been perpetual and all but irremediable rivalries and jealousies, which went far to neutralise its collective strength in the face of a real peril It was now on the eve of a revolution which the Greek mind, in spite of many a warning from Demosthenes, had never been able to bring itself to contemplate as possible He had done his best, as we have seen, to retard it amid endless discouragements, and to the last we shall find him faithful to the cause of which he never once seems to have allowed himself to despair In the train of events which culminated in Chæroneia we find him bearing a conspicuous and honourable part

Philip's career, as we have just seen, had been temporarily checked, and at the close of the year 340 B C Athens might almost congratulate herself on all

danger having passed away. In the spring of 339 B C the King met with another disaster He had plunged into the wilds of Scythia, north of the Danube, and had carried off a vast booty of flocks and herds from the barbarous people, but on his return through Thrace he was attacked by the Triballi, one of the fiercest and most warlike of the tribes of that dangerous region We know what it is for a regular and well-equipped army to have to march through an intricate and hostile country. The king of Macedon, encumbered as he was with spoil, was taken at a disadvantage, and if not actually defeated, he was at least worsted, lost his plunder, and was himself badly wounded Thus the year 339 B C seemed one of good omen for Athens and for Greece And thanks to the vigorous efforts of Demosthenes in the way of naval reform, the Athenian fleet was now supreme in the Ægean

Meanwhile a new sacred war in behalf of the god and temple of Delphi was unfortunately breaking out It arose out of incidents which may seem to us comparatively trifling An Amphictyonic Council had assembled at Delphi in the autumn of 340 B C, and Athens was represented by Æschines The fruitful plain of Cirsa, stretching inland from the Gulf of Corinth to the town of Amphissa, under the mountains of Parnassus, was the consecrated possession of the Delphic god It was holy ground, and to till or to plant it had been forbidden with a tremendous curse Part of it, however, adjacent to the town and port of Cirrha, had, almost with the sanction of Greek opinion, been occupied and brought into cultivation for a long

period by the Locrians Between them and the Phocians there had been a long-standing feud, which reached a climax in the recent Sacred War The Locrians in that war had sided with Philip and the Thebans against their sacrilegious neighbours Consequently, after the destruction of Phocis, they had a sore feeling towards Athens as the ally of the Phocians One of their deputies, on the occasion of which we are speaking, rudely gave expression to this feeling, and went so far as to revile the Athenians, and to imply that an alliance with such a people was in itself equivalent to the guilt of sacrilege Possibly the man may have wished to curry favour with the Thebans, to whose disgust some golden shields had just been set up by the Athenians in a new chapel at Delphi, with an inscription commemorating the victory of Athens over Persia and Thebes at Platæa a century and a half ago This small incident was dwelt upon by the Locrian orator in violent and intemperate language "Do not," said he, "permit the name of the Athenian people to be pronounced among you at this holy season Turn them out of the sacred ground like men under a curse"

Æschines, the Athenian representative (he describes the affair himself in his great speech against Ctesiphon, or, we may say, against Demosthenes), savagely retorted He pointed to the plain of Crisa, visible from the spot where they were assembled. "You see," he said, "that plain cultivated by the Locrians of Amphissa, covered with their farm-buildings You have under your eyes the port of Cirrha, consecrated by your forefathers' oath, now occupied and fortified."

Then he caused the ancient oracle, the oath with its dreadful curse, to be read out before the Council "Here am I," he went on to say, "ready to defend the property of the god according to your forefathers' oath. I stand prepared to clear my own city of her obligations Do you take counsel for yourselves You are here to pray for blessings to the gods, publicly and individually. Where will you find voice or heart or courage to offer such a prayer if you let these accursed Locrians of Amphissa remain unpunished?"

The appeal of Æschines produced an instantaneous effect The excitement was prodigious, and the Council in a moment of fury passed a resolution that on the morrow all the population of Delphi were to assemble with spades and pickaxes, and sweep away from the sacred plain every trace of the impious tillage and cultivation Next day this mad proposal was actually carried into effect The furious mob rushed across the plain into the town of Cirrha, and pillaged and fired the place On their return, however, they were met by the Locrians of Amphissa with an armed force, and obliged to take refuge in Delphi. There was no bloodshed, even under these circumstances of provocation, as the aggrieved owners of the destroyed property were restrained by a sentiment of reverence for the Amphictyonic Council Here is, indeed, a striking evidence of the respect felt for the traditions of the god of Delphi and his ancient temple, the centre of the religious life of Greece Again, on the following day, the Council met, and after warm praise had been bestowed on Athens as the avenger of Apollo's rights,

the people of Amphissa were denounced as having incurred the guilt of sacrilege, and it was finally decided that the Amphictyonic deputies should shortly assemble at Thermopylæ to consider how they were to be punished

A new sacred war was thus in effect begun six years after the disastrous termination of the previous war in 346 B C That had ended in the destruction of a member of the Greek community; this was to end in the ruin and fall of Greece. The danger was not at once perceived at Athens We cannot wonder at this Æschines' vindication of his countrymen at the Council might well seem spirited and patriotic. Athens, through him, had stood forward as the champion of the god of Delphi It was easy for him to argue that those who took a different view, and regretted the rash act to which the Amphictyons had been prompted by his oratory, were little better than the paid agents of those sacrilegious Locrians, who had allowed one of their speakers openly to insult Athens Demosthenes, however—so he tells us—at once declared in the Assembly, "You are bringing war into Attica, Æschines—an Amphictyonic war" The popular sentiment at the time was in favour of Æschines, and this his political rival must have known and felt Still, Demosthenes was able—a proof this of the high respect in which he was held—to persuade the people not to send any deputies to the special congress at Thermopylæ, which was to deliberate on the punishment of the Locrians Thebes, too, allowed herself to be unrepresented War was decided on, the Locrian territory was invaded,

and a fine imposed on the Locrians, the payment of which, however, the army was not sufficiently powerful to compel.

The congress of which we have just spoken was not the regular Amphictyonic meeting This was held in the autumn of 339 B C Philip by that time had returned to his kingdom The meeting was now at Delphi, and Athens, as might be expected, took part in it Æschines again was one of her representatives It was on this occasion that the fatal step was taken of invoking the aid of Philip It is not very difficult to understand how such a vote was carried Macedon itself was a member of the Council, and so, too, were several states like Thessaly and Phthiotis, which now were simply Macedonian dependencies Æschines, it may be from really corrupt motives, supported the vote. Accordingly Philip was elected general of the Amphictyonic army, and a request was forwarded to him that "he would march to the aid of Apollo and the Amphictyons, and not suffer the rights of the god to be invaded by the impious Locrians of Amphissa"

The die was now cast The peril to Greece might possibly even yet have been warded off, but it was great and imminent And Thebes and Athens, on whom all now depended, were still notoriously unreconciled Philip, of course, instantly accepted the Council's invitation He would enter Greece as the representative of a holy cause, as well as the head of a very powerful army From Thermopylæ he marched straight through Phocis to Elateia, the chief Phocian town and the key to southern Greece It was not sixty

miles from the Athenian frontier Here he halted and began to establish a regular camp This was in itself alarming His next step was to send a message to Thebes inviting the co-operation of the Thebans in an attack on Attica

In a graphic passage in the most famous of his speeches, Demosthenes describes the impression made at Athens by the news that Philip was at Elateia

"It was evening," he says, "when a messenger arrived with tidings for the Presidents that Elateia was taken They rose instantly from the public supper-table, some drove the people from the stalls in the Forum, and set fire to the wicker-work in order to clear the space, others sent for the generals, and called the trumpeter The whole city was in commotion Next morning, at break of day, the Presidents convoked the Senate in the Senate House, and you repaired to the Assembly, and before the Senate could enter upon business, or draw up the decree to be submitted to you, all the people had taken their seats in the Pnyx When the Senate had entered—when the Presidents had communicated the intelligence which had been brought to them—when the messenger had been introduced, and related his tidings,—the herald made proclamation, 'Who desires to speak?' But no one came forward Again and again did the herald repeat the proclamation, our country's voice called out for a man to speak and save her, for the voice of the herald raised at the law's command should be regarded as the voice of our common country. Still not a man came forward"

In this crisis Demosthenes gave his counsel. It was to the following effect:—

"I said," he tells us, "that the dismay of those who suppose that Philip could still count on the Thebans must proceed from an ignorance of the real state of the case. If that were so, it would not be at Elateia—it would be on our own frontier—that we should hear of Philip. That he had come to make things ready for him in Thebes I knew well. But mark, I said, how the matter stands. Every man in Thebes whom money can buy, every man whom flattery can gain, has long ago been secured. But he is totally unable to prevail upon those who have withstood him from the beginning, and who are opposing him still. What, then, has brought Philip to Elateia? He hopes, by a military demonstration in your neighbourhood, and by bringing up his army, to raise the courage and confidence of his friends, and to strike terror into his enemies, so that they may be frightened or coerced into surrendering what hitherto they have been unwilling to concede. If, then, I said, we choose at this crisis to remember every ill turn which the Thebans have done us, and to distrust them and treat them as enemies, in the first place we shall be doing the very thing which Philip most desires; and next, I fear that, his present adversaries embracing his cause, they will all fall on Attica together. If you will be advised by me, and regard what I am about to say as matter for reflection rather than for disputation, I believe that my counsel will obtain your approbation, and be the means of averting the peril which now

threatens the State What, then, do I advise? First, shake off this panic—or rather change the direction of your fears from yourselves to the Thebans, for they are far nearer ruin than ourselves The danger is theirs before it is ours Next, let all citizens of military age and all your cavalry march to Eleusis, and show yourselves to the world in arms, that the Thebans who are on your side may be as bold as their adversaries, and speak out in the cause of right, with the assurance that, if there is at Elateia a force at hand to support the party who have sold their country to Philip, your forces are no less at the disposal of those who would fight for freedom, and ready to succour them in case of attack. Make no conditions with the Thebans It would be unworthy on such an occasion Simply declare your readiness to succour them, on the assumption that their peril is imminent, and that you are in a better position than they to forecast the future If they accept our offer and adopt our views, we shall have attained our object, and pursued a policy worthy of our country If anything should mar the project, they will have only themselves to blame, and we shall have nothing to blush for in our part of the transaction."

Such was the counsel of Demosthenes in this great crisis It was instantly adopted by the Assembly without a dissentient voice The matter did not stop here "Not only did I make a speech," Demosthenes tells us, "but I proposed a decree Not only did I propose the decree, but I went upon the embassy Not

only went I on the embassy, but I prevailed on the Thebans" At Thebes the orator had to confront the envoys of Philip, backed up by the Philippising party and by the old Theban animosity towards Athens Each embassy was heard, according to Greek custom, before the Theban Assembly Philip had eloquent advocates who suggested plausible reasons why he should be allowed to march through Bœotia and to humble the old enemy of Thebes Unfortunately, we have not the reply of Demosthenes We know, however, from the historian of the time, Theopompus, that he rose to the occasion, and convinced the wavering Thebans, by an impressive appeal to every Greek and patriotic sentiment, that it was their duty and interest to accept the offered alliance It was a signal triumph —one, too, achieved under extreme difficulties

It must, indeed, have been a proud moment for Demosthenes when he saw his country's army march across the Attic frontier and enter Bœotia at the Theban invitation All distrust and jealousy had now passed away, and the two states, between whom there had been long and bitter rivalry, had at last made up their mind to co-operate in a common cause As it had been at Byzantium, so was it now at Thebes The Athenian soldiers received a hearty welcome, and were hospitably entertained in the houses of the city

"With such cordiality," says Demosthenes in his speech on the Crown, "did they welcome you, that while their own infantry and cavalry were quartered outside the walls, they received your army within their city and

their homes, among their wives and all that they held most precious On that day the Thebans gave you, in the face of all mankind, three of the highest testimonials —the first of your valour, the second of your justice, and the third of your good conduct For in choosing to fight with you rather than against you, they judged that you were better soldiers, and engaged in a better cause than Philip, and by intrusting to you that which they in common with all mankind regard with the most jealous watchfulness, their children and their wives, they manifested their confidence in your good conduct The result showed that they were well warranted in their trust, for after the army entered their city, not a single complaint, well or ill founded, was made against you, so orderly was your behaviour And when your soldiers stood side by side with their hosts in two successive engagements, their discipline, their equipments, their courage, were such as not only to challenge criticism, but to command admiration"

Two slight successes, indeed, were won by the united armies of Thebes and Athens Of the campaign we have no detailed narrative, and of the final battle we have but an imperfect and unsatisfactory description It would have been most interesting to have had such an account of it as Xenophon has given us of Leuctra and Mantineia It was fought near Chæroneia, close to the borders of Phocis,—a town of little importance, but memorable from its historical associations More than two centuries afterwards, a great victory was won there by Sulla over an army of Mithridates. It was,

too, the birthplace of Plutarch, and to it he retired from Rome in his old age. On this occasion it would seem that as to numbers the forces were evenly matched. But the Greek army was without a general of any marked ability. Phocion, by far the best Athenian officer, was absent with the fleet in the Ægean. A commander of the first order—a man, for example, of the calibre of Epameinondas—might have turned the scale, and no doubt would have done so had there been a powerful contingent from Sparta and the Peloponnese. United Greece, it is probable, could even yet have crushed Philip. As it was, all may be said to have depended on Athens and Thebes, though a few other states furnished some soldiers. The Macedonian army was both skilfully commanded and was very formidable in itself. It was led by Philip and by his young son Alexander; and he it was, it appears, to whom the victory was mainly due. He was opposed to the Theban phalanx—the Sacred band, as it was called—which fell fighting to a man. It is certain that the battle was obstinately contested, and almost equally certain that it was decided by superiority of generalship. The Athenians, after their wont, dashed upon the enemy with furious impetuosity, but a citizen militia, however brave and enthusiastic, unless they were victorious at the first onset, could hardly be expected to stand long against such troops as Philip's trained veterans. They did, according to one account, put the enemy to flight, and their general exclaimed, "Let us pursue them even to Macedonia." But the end was complete defeat for the Greek army,

and the year 338 B.C. witnessed the fall of Greek independence

To Thebes the result was immediate ruin. Its citadel was at once occupied by a Macedonian garrison, and its government put under Macedonian control Athens, 1000 of whose citizens had fallen, and 2000 been taken prisoners, was in an agony of distress, but she did not allow herself to despair Isocrates, still alive in his 99th year, though he had been politically opposed to Demosthenes and had cherished the idea of a united Greece under the leadership of the king of Macedon, was heart-broken, and refused to live any longer. He was a true patriot, and

> "That dishonest victory
> At Chæronea, fatal to liberty,
> Killed with report that old man eloquent"

Demosthenes had fought in his countrymen's ranks, and had fled with the rest, but though his enemies taunted him with cowardice, he had the honour of pronouncing the funeral panegyric over the fallen His counsels had been followed, the result had been disastrous; yet he still evidently retained the confidence and esteem of the people Athens recovered her captured citizens without ransom, for the conqueror chose to be generous, but the cause for which she had fought was a thing of the past Demosthenes must have felt after Chæronea as Pitt felt after Austerlitz when he closed the map of Europe His efforts had been rewarded with the gratitude of his countrymen, but they had not been rewarded with success

CHAPTER XII

CONTEST BETWEEN DEMOSTHENES AND ÆSCHINES

PHILIP was now the acknowledged head of the Greek world. Phocion, Athens' best soldier, as well as a highly honourable citizen, told the Athenians that they must acquiesce in this result. Demosthenes had not a word left to say on foreign policy. The subject was, in fact, closed. He was continually and virulently attacked by his political opponents, but he was too strong for them. He spoke the funeral eulogy at the obsequies of the slain in the great battle—an honour to which he was chosen in preference to Æschines, as well as to Demades, who had negotiated the peace. He held, too, more than one important office. He was treasurer of the Theoric fund, which provided Athens with her grand dramatic entertainments; and in this capacity he had a considerable control over the finances generally. He was also superintendent of the city walls and fortifications. He must thus have had the character of an able and upright man of business. And he continued to follow the profession of the bar, and found abundant employment.

In 336 B.C. Philip was assassinated. It seems that

Demosthenes, though at the time he was mourning the death of an only daughter, showed an excessive joy by appearing in public in a white dress with a garland on his head, and performing a solemn sacrifice of thanksgiving Could he have indulged in the dream that all was now to be reversed, and that Greece was again to be free? Macedon, no doubt, with its sudden growth of power, might have collapsed, had Philip's son and successor been an imbecile And it appears that Demosthenes thought meanly of the young Alexander. He compared him to Margites, the hero of a comic poem which tradition attributed to Homer Margites was a man who "knew many things, but knew them all badly," he was a sort of "Jack of all trades and master of none" Alexander was famous for the variety of his studies and pursuits, and it was this, it may be supposed, which gave point to the comparison Demosthenes' idea of him was, that he was a studious, bookish young man, of whom the world would never hear much The fact that he was only twenty years of age at the time of his father's death may have reasonably encouraged Demosthenes to believe that Greece had some chance of throwing off the yoke imposed on her by her defeat at Chæronea He did not think it wrong to correspond with Persia, and to avail himself of Persian gold, with the view of frustrating Philip's designs on Asia We can hardly censure him for this, when we remember that it was done for the patriotic purpose of freeing Greece from its present position of a Macedonian dependency If he used questionable means, he at least had the merit of standing by the old cause. But, of course it

was easy for his enemies to represent his conduct in an odious light

Three years after Chæroneia, Alexander, after a successful expedition into Thrace, and a victory over the barbarous and warlike Getæ on the further bank of the Danube, hurried with marvellous rapidity southwards to crush a movement of revolt in Thebes There was, as we have seen, a Macedonian garrison in the city There was, too, a powerful political party which urged prompt submission Alexander himself was particularly anxious not to drive matters to extremities But the party which had instigated the movement knew that they could not hope for mercy, and, by appealing to the cause of Greek freedom, persuaded the people to reject all offers of peace The unhappy city was captured by assault, and every house but that of the poet Pindar and those of his descendants was razed to the ground

> "The great Emathian conqueror bade spare
> The house of Pindarus, when temple and tower
> Went to the ground"

It was a terrible doom, but it was approved by the towns of Bœotia, and but for the brief grandeur to which Thebes rose under Epameinondas, and her share in the battle of Chæroneia, we may almost say it was deserved She had been a traitor to the common cause in the great struggle with Persia, and afterwards, with a peculiar baseness, she had urged Sparta to slaughter, in cold blood, the brave Platæans, whose only crime was, that they had sided with Athens in the Pelopon-

nesian War Thebes was now blotted out of existence Again Athens trembled Alexander, there was reason to believe, was magnanimous, but it was impossible to say how he might deal with a city which had been so persistently hostile to his father At the suggestion of Demades, an embassy of congratulation was sent to him The people were to express their joy not only on his safe return from the Danube, but on the extinction of Thebes It was, as Dr Thirlwall happily calls it, "impudent obsequiousness" Alexander's answer was a demand for the surrender of the nine chief anti-Macedonian orators,—Demosthenes, of course, included But the demand was waived, chiefly, it seems, through the opportune intervention of Phocion, whom Alexander highly respected

The next year he crossed the Hellespont into Asia. Four years from that time sufficed for the overthrow of the Persian empire Darius, the last king of Persia, was murdered in 330 B C That same year witnessed an abortive attempt in Greece against Macedonian supremacy It was bravely led by a king of Sparta, who fell in a hard-fought battle near Megalopolis with Antipater, to whom Alexander had intrusted his kingdom during his absence Greece could now no longer even dream of independence Anything like an anti-Macedonian policy would be preposterous, and there was thus an opportunity at Athens of attempting to rouse popular feeling against any statesman who had advocated that policy, the end of which had been so fatal to Greece

It was under these circumstances that Æschines

made a great effort to crush his old rival It had been proposed by Ctesiphon, in the year after Chæroneia, that a public testimonial to the worth of Demosthenes should be given him in the form of a golden crown, and that the honour should be proclaimed on the occasion of one of those great dramatic festivals, when the city was crowded with visitors from every part of Greece The proposal had been approved by the Athenian Senate, but it had yet to be submitted to the popular assembly Æschines at the time denounced it as unconstitutional, and opposed it by one of the recognised modes of legal procedure Technically, indeed, the motion of Ctesiphon was illegal Demosthenes, as we have stated, was holding two offices, he was superintendent of fortifications and treasurer of the Theoric fund It was contrary to Athenian law to bestow the honour of a crown on an officer before his accounts had been audited, it was also forbidden that such an honour should be proclaimed anywhere else than in the Pnyx, the regular place of the people's assembly According to the motion of the proposer, it would have been proclaimed in the theatre Æschines could, therefore, argue that it was in two points illegal But he wished to win a decisive victory, and he accordingly waited for some years, and finally rested his case on the argument that Demosthenes, as a public man, was undeserving of the honour It is this which gives interest to his extant speech He laboured to convince the Athenians that his rival could not have been thoroughly sincere in his anti-Macedonian professions, because he had let slip three

important opportunities Demosthenes had done nothing, so he argued, when Alexander first crossed into Asia, or when he was supposed to be in great jeopardy just before the battle of Issus in 333 B C ; or lastly, when Sparta, as has been stated, made an attempt at resistance It was in the year of this unsuccessful attempt—the year 330 B C, when Macedon was triumphant both in Asia and Greece—that this memorable cause between the two rival orators was heard before the Athenian assembly As might have been expected, there was a numerous gathering both of citizens and strangers, very many of whom were well qualified to be keen critics of the great contest

The question really to be decided—and this was the issue which Æschines was anxious to raise—was, Had Demosthenes been a good or bad citizen? had he honestly at all times and seasons stood by the cause in which he so earnestly professed to believe? Demosthenes' reply to this question is the vindication of his political life The cause for which he had exerted himself, though finally unsuccessful, was, he maintains, the true and the right cause Had he foreseen the end from the beginning, he would have spoken and acted as he did He reviews his policy from the peace of 346 B C, concluded just after Philip's destruction of Phocis, down to the king's death ten years afterwards To all this he looks back with satisfaction and pride In defending himself he attacks his rival, and denounces him as really the author of the calamities which had fallen on the Greek world It was through the diplomacy of Æschines, he declares, that

Philip was admitted to Thermopylæ, the beginning of all the subsequent mischief If it was dreadful to think of Greece being under a foreign master, it was a glorious fact that Athens had done her best to avert such a disgrace

This is the drift and purport of the great speech on the Crown, as it is usually called. It has been well described by Mr Grote as "a funeral oration on extinct Athenian and Grecian freedom" "It breathes," says Dr Thirlwall, "the spirit of that high philosophy which, whether learnt in the schools or from life, has consoled the noblest of our kind in prisons and on scaffolds, and under every persecution of adverse fortune, but in the tone necessary to impress a mixed multitude with a like feeling, and to elevate it for a while into a sphere above its own"

Some passages from this oration have already been quoted in the preceding chapter, and it is due to the reader to give him some further specimens of, perhaps, the greatest of all the oratorical efforts of Demosthenes

Here is a passage in which the speaker dwells on the generous and magnanimous temper of his countrymen in their best days —

"Let me for a moment bring before your eyes one or two of the brightest passages in the history of our times Lacedæmon was paramount by sea and land, she had a belt of garrisons about the frontiers of our territory, Eubœa, Tanagra, all Bœotia, Megara, Ægina, Cleonæ, every island on the coast We had neither

ships nor walls, we were in no want (had we chosen to remember the Decelean war) of grievances either against Corinth or Thebes And yet the arms of Athens were seen at Haliartus, and in a few days after at Corinth You had something better to do than to recall the injuries of the past . .

"The sacrifice in either case was not made for a benefactor, neither was it made without risk You held that no reason for abandoning to their fate men who had thrown themselves on your compassion Honour and renown were a sufficient motive to lead you into danger, and who shall say you were wrong? Life must cease, death must come at some time, though one should steal into a cellar to avoid him. The brave are ever ready to set forth on the path of glory, armed with high hope and courage, prepared to accept without a murmur the fate which heaven may ordain Thus did your forefathers, thus did the elders among yourselves, who interposed and frustrated the attempts of the Thebans after their victory at Leuctra to destroy Sparta, though from Sparta you had experienced neither friendship nor good offices, but many grievous wrongs You neither quailed before the power and renown which Thebes then possessed, nor were you deterred by any thought of your past treatment by Sparta Thus did you proclaim to all the Greeks, that how much soever any of them may offend against you, you reserve your resentment for other occasions, but that if danger threaten their existence or their liberties, you will take no account of—you will not even remember—your wrongs"

This is his answer to those who persisted in saying that it was Philip—Philip alone—who had brought all their troubles on them —

"Do not go about repeating that Greece owes all her misfortunes to one man No, not to one man, but to many abandoned men distributed throughout the different states, of whom, by earth and heaven, Æschines is one If the truth were to be spoken without reserve, I should not hesitate to call him the common scourge of all the men, the districts, and the cities which have perished, for the sower of the seed is answerable for the crop I am astonished you did not turn your faces from him the moment you beheld him, but thick darkness would seem to veil your eyes"

He maintains that the action of the State had been right and honourable, though it had failed

"I affirm that if the future had been apparent to us all—if you, Æschines, had foretold it and proclaimed it at the top of your voice instead of preserving total silence,—nevertheless the State ought not to have deviated from her course, if she had regard to her own honour, the traditions of the past, or the judgment of posterity As it is, she is looked upon as having failed in her policy,—the common lot of all mankind when such is the will of heaven, but if, claiming to be the foremost state of Greece, she had deserted her post, she would have incurred the reproach of betraying Greece to Philip If we had abandoned without a struggle all which our forefathers braved every danger to win, who would not have spurned you, Æschines? God forbid that I

only, for his wife was stoned by your wives, as he was by you. The Athenians of those days went not in quest of an orator or a general who could help them to prosperous slavery, but they scorned life itself, if it were not the life of freedom Each of them regarded himself as the child not only of his father and of his mother, but of his country, and what is the difference? He who looks on himself as merely the child of his parents, awaits death in the ordinary course of nature, while he who looks on himself as the child also of his country, will be ready to lay down his life rather than see her enslaved, and will hold death itself less terrible than the insults and indignities which the citizens of a state in slavery to the foreigner must endure

"Do I take credit to myself for having inspired you with sentiments worthy of your ancestors? Such presumption would expose me to the just rebuke of every man who hears me What I maintain is, that these very sentiments are your own, that the spirit of Athens was the same before my time,—though I do claim to have had a share in the application of these principles to each successive crisis Æschines, therefore, when he impeaches our whole policy, and seeks to exasperate you against me as the author of all your alarms and perils, in his anxiety to deprive me of present credit, is really labouring to rob you of your everlasting renown If by your vote against Ctesiphon you condemn my policy, you will pronounce yourselves to have been in the wrong, instead of having suffered what has befallen you through the cruel injustice of fortune But it cannot be you have not been in the

CHAPTER XIII

LAST DAYS OF DEMOSTHENES

DEMOSTHENES had won a splendid triumph, which he survived eight years But they were years by no means unclouded They were darkened by an unfortunate incident, which we proceed briefly to narrate

From 330 to 324 B C, we hear nothing of the great orator Athens, in fact, had no politics for him to discuss He could have had nothing to do but to advise private clients By the year 324 Alexander had returned from that long expedition in which he had carried his army through the heart of Asia to the banks of the Indus He had left behind him one of his old Macedonian friends in the government of the rich satrapy of Babylonia Harpalus (this was the man's name) was greedy and extravagant, and wasted the resources of his province in a luxury which he had learnt during his residence in the East It was said that he loaded his table with the most costly delicacies, and filled his gardens with exotic plants of every variety He had found it convenient to please the people of Athens by splendid presents, and particularly by very liberal gifts of wheat for free and general

distribution For all this he had received votes of thanks and been made an Athenian citizen He was afraid, however, to face Alexander, who, he well knew, showed no mercy to delinquent satraps So he fled from Asia to Europe with an immense treasure of 5000 talents (about a million and a quarter pounds sterling), and landed at Cape Sunium, in Attica He might reasonably flatter himself that he would not be an unwelcome visitor at Athens, but in this he was disappointed There was the fear of the wrath of Alexander, and the fear, too, that Harpalus might possibly intend to assume the position of a tyrant or despot His offers, whatever they were, were rejected; but there was a debate in the Assembly, and a rumour reached Alexander that Athens had received him and his armament. This was at the time untrue, but when he sent away his ships and asked leave to be admitted into the city with a few personal attendants, the people, remembering his past favours, no longer refused Having gained his point, he tried to persuade them that they might defy Alexander with a prospect of success, and that he was himself able and willing to furnish them with the necessary funds Some of the orators supported his views But he could do nothing with Phocion or with Demosthenes This was fatal to his project Soon there came envoys from Antipater, Alexander's deputy in Macedonia, requiring his surrender But this both Phocion and Demosthenes, notwithstanding the danger of the crisis, opposed So alarmed, however, were the people at the thought of Alexander's probable vengeance, that

they decided on arresting Harpalus and sequestrating his treasure till they could learn what view Alexander took of the matter; and this much they did on the motion of Demosthenes himself. It seems possible, as has been suggested, that Demosthenes proposed this motion with an *arrière-pensée*, and may have wished to detain Harpalus and his treasure, and to wait the course of events. Harpalus contrived to escape; but his treasure—that part of it at least which he had brought to Athens after dismissing his fleet, and which amounted, according to statements made by Demosthenes on his authority, to about 720 talents—remained behind. This, of course, ought to have been returned—and the people were, it seems, prepared to do so; but when the money was counted it was found that there was no more than 350 talents, barely half the original sum. How was the deficiency to be explained? There was a great stir and outcry. People said that it must have been used in bribery, and that the missing money must have stuck to the fingers of the orators and public men. There was a general feeling that somebody ought to be punished, but there was not a scrap of evidence against any one, and no means of procuring it.

Demosthenes proposed to have the affair investigated by the court of Areopagus. It was not easy to see what better course could have been taken. At the same time, the members of that court must have felt that they could hardly hope, under the circumstances, to arrive at a perfectly satisfactory result. No doubt they commanded the public confidence, as they were all men of age and

experience, and were from their position above the motives which occasionally swayed other courts Great latitude was allowed them, and practically they often decided cases not simply on the evidence before them, but on hearsay, and on that personal knowledge which men in their rank would be sure to possess They took the utmost pains with the present inquiry, and were engaged on it for six months They went so far as to search the houses of the principal public men, with the exception of one who had been lately married—an exception perhaps to be attributed to a sense of delicacy At last they published their report, with a list of the names of persons whom they considered chargeable with having improperly possessed themselves of the missing money

In this list appeared the name of Demosthenes as a debtor to the amount of twenty talents The next step was to give the accused parties the choice of taking their trial or of paying the sum with which the Areopagus had debited them. Of those brought to trial, Demosthenes was the first He was tried before a jury of 1500 of his fellow-citizens, was found guilty, and sentenced to pay a fine of fifty talents (about £12,000) It is very possible that among the jury which condemned him there may have been many who wished to please Alexander, and many, too, of the friends of Harpalus It must, however, be remembered that the decision of the Areopagus could not fail to influence their verdict Demosthenes would not or could not pay the fine He was imprisoned, but in a few days was able to escape to Trœzen, in the

territory of Argos. It was but a few months that he remained there

We can hardly bring ourselves to believe that he was really guilty Of course we can judge only by probabilities, and it is certain that the court of Areopagus must have had grounds for their suspicion We must bear in mind that they merely drew up a list of persons whose case in their opinion required further judicial inquiry There is no reason for assuming that they regarded the guilt of Demosthenes as certain The inquiry was long and difficult, and the decision ultimately arrived at could have been hardly meant to express confident assurance If Demosthenes publicly stated, on Harpalus's authority, the amount of the treasure, it seems strange that he should have made himself a party to the disappearance of a portion of it It may be that the statement he made had not been verified by him, and it may have been altogether erroneous It is pleasant to find that both Dr Thirlwall and Mr Grote incline to acquit him of this mean dishonesty

It may be worth while to mention a story told by Plutarch about this painful passage in the life of Demosthenes Like many of his stories, it is probably a pure fiction, but it is at least amusing Harpalus, he tells us, won over the orator to his side by sending him a singularly beautiful golden cup, his admiration of which he had noted Along with the cup were twenty talents, the sum with which the Areopagus had debited him Shortly afterwards, when the proposals of Harpalus were being discussed in the Assembly, Demosthenes, who had previously opposed them, appeared with a

woollen bandage round his throat, and pretended that he could not speak, from an attack of quinsy Some wag remarked that it must be the silver quinsy The people laughed, but were angry. Such is the story But, as a fact, Demosthenes did not drop his opposition to Harpalus It was on his motion, as we have seen, that Harpalus was arrested and his treasure sequestrated

We left the great orator in exile at Trœzen He was recalled soon after the death of Alexander in 323 B C An attempt was then made once more to rid Greece of the Macedonian ascendancy It was finally crushed by Antipater in the battle of Crannon in 322 B C The conqueror demanded the surrender of the leading anti-Macedonian orators—Demosthenes, of course, among them Athens from this moment ceased to exist as a free state A Macedonian garrison was introduced; there was a wholesale disfranchisement of citizens, and a new political constitution was imposed on the city Demosthenes did not remain to be a witness of this degradation He had been welcomed back to his native Athens with joyful enthusiasm, now he must leave her for ever He took refuge in the little island of Calauria, off the coast of Argolis It was here that he chose to die rather than fall into the hands of the "exile-hunters," as the emissaries of Antipater were called Within the precincts of an ancient temple of Neptune, regarded of old as an inviolable sanctuary, he swallowed poison, retaining in his last moments sufficient presence of mind to expire outside the sacred enclosure, to which, in Greek belief, death would have been a pollution

CHAPTER XIV

DEMOSTHENES AT THE BAR.

IT has seemed most convenient not to interrupt our sketch of the political career of Demosthenes with any allusions to his purely forensic engagements He became, comparatively early in life—that is to say, when he was probably under thirty years of age—a very successful pleader in large practice It may be as well now to give the reader some idea of the work with which he was occupied, and of the speeches which in this capacity he was called on to deliver

At Athens there was no separate and distinct class answering to our bar But there were professional orators and rhetoricians in abundance, who made it their business to compose speeches for plaintiffs and defendants They did not, however, as a rule, make the speeches themselves, they merely prepared them and put them in the hands of their clients, who committed them to memory and then addressed the court Of course it would often happen that a man felt himself quite unequal to such an ordeal, and would get an experienced speaker to plead for him Most, however, of the forensic speeches of Demosthenes which have

come down to us, were written for delivery by the plaintiff or the defendant in person Part of the orator's art consisted in adapting them to the style and manner of man his client happened to be This circumstance often gives piquancy to these speeches They abound in amusing passages illustrative of many varieties of Athenian life We have descriptive touches of the peculiar ways of the commercial rogue, of the money-lender, of the fraudulent trustee Fortune has been kind in preserving for us something like thirty orations of Demosthenes, in which these and kindred figures present themselves to our notice We thus peep into the banking-house and the factory, and see the Athenian citizen bargaining with merchants and shipowners, or busy with his farm, or making his last will and testament

Athens was a city in which lawsuits could not fail to be plentiful It was a centre of trade, and a resort of foreigners from all parts Then, too, there were the mines of Laurium along the coast; there were quarries of marble, and the adjacent seas were famous for their fisheries Athenian manufactures, too, were highly prized From the shores of the Black Sea and the islands of the Ægean there was a good trade in corn, timber, wine, and wool Here were all the materials of commerce, and consequently of litigation Many an Athenian citizen was himself in business, and the city seems to have swarmed with bustling, enterprising foreigners who found it convenient to make it their home. The law courts had plenty of work to do—so much so, indeed, that the "law's delay" appears to have

been as familiar to Athenians as to ourselves. "Some people," says Xenophen, if he really wrote the treatise attributed to him on the Athenian republic, "complain that a man often waits a twelvemonth at Athens before he can obtain an audience of the Senate or of the popular assembly. The fact is, they have so much to do there that it is impossible to attend to every man's application; some, therefore, are compelled to go away unheard." Ill-natured persons, it seems, hinted that anybody could obtain a hearing by means of a bribe. Xenophon admits that there may be some truth in this; but he adds, speaking from his own knowledge, "that for no amount of gold and silver which could be offered would it be possible for the Athenians to transact all the business that is brought before them." Athens, in fact, was the place to which nearly all causes from the islands of the Ægean were brought for trial; and to which, too, it was probably best and safest that they should be brought. Athenian trials were conducted in a way which to us seems singular, and which at first sight might appear very unfavourable to the administration of justice. Causes were heard, as with us, before juries; but at Athens a jury commonly numbered 500, and might number 1000 or even more. It was, in fact, trial before a popular assembly. There was a president, but he was not armed with the controlling powers of an English judge. Everything was left to the jury; the law of the case as well as the facts was for them to decide. To us this may seem the height of absurdity; but still at Athens it worked moderately well, and in a majority of cases we may believe that it secured at

least substantial justice The Athenian juror, it is true, had not received what we call a legal education, but he was naturally critical and sharp-witted, and he was well practised in the hearing of causes It is quite possible that the average decisions of an Athenian jury may have been as good and satisfactory as those of an English There was, of course, a danger of their being swayed too much at times by political considerations But to this we know that an English jury is also liable There was another and a worse danger The population of Athens was comparatively small, and so it would often happen that plaintiff and defendant, and the case at issue between them, would be well known to the jurors The Athenian pleader was continually appealing to the personal knowledge of the jury, and would in this manner supplement deficiencies in the evidence "He is a scoundrel, you all know him to be one,'— this was the sort of language commonly addressed to a jury at Athens Æschines, in prosecuting one Timarchus, dwells on the notoriety of the man's guilt and wickedness—"Such," he says, "is the testimony of the whole people of Athens, and it is not right that they should be convicted of perjury" This strikes us as a very loose method of procedure Yet we find it repeatedly in the speeches of Demosthenes And it is what we must expect where the judicial system is made thoroughly democratic We must not be surprised at the savage invective with which the greatest Athenian orators thought it seemly to interlard their speeches Even with us and all our restrictions, advocates contrive occasionally to indulge in considerable licence, and did

so formerly to a much greater extent; and it is, perhaps, a question whether some of the most offensive passages in Demosthenes and Æschines might not be paralleled from English pleadings.

Another evil of the Athenian judicial system was the division of responsibility. One out of 500 or 1000 jurors might very well shelter himself under the excuse, that if he decided wrongly from carelessness or partiality, the result would not be much affected. On the other hand, there were advantages which will occur to the minds of those who are acquainted with the history of free institutions. Corruption and bribery cannot have been particularly easy. Nor, again, could anything like intimidation be well practised. The fact, too, that rich and poor were brought together to discharge an important public function, would have a salutary effect. It would make them feel that they were members of one commonwealth, and inspire them with a respect for its laws. It would call out many of their best sentiments, as well as sharpen their intellects. Their decisions may have sometimes been such as we with our modern ideas cannot approve; but, on the whole, it may be assumed that they commanded the confidence of the people. The Athenian may have had a perverse fondness for listening to the wranglings of rival pleaders; but he did his best generally to hear both sides fairly, and to decide rightly. The jury system, with all its accompaniments of trained oratory and carefully-composed speeches, was contemporaneous with the marvellous development of Athenian literature in the age of Pericles. To it we are certainly indebted

for some of the most splendid monuments of human genius.

Such numerous juries could hardly have been fit to deal with cases involving a multitude of intricate details connected with money accounts or valuations of property. Matters of this kind were usually referred, as with us, to a court of arbitration — public arbitrators being annually appointed. Of these we hear continually in the forensic speeches of the Athenian orators, and we may take it for granted that much of the law business was disposed of by them. Indeed, it was the regular practice to submit ordinary private disputes to arbitrators in the first instance; but, as might have been expected in a democratic state, there was always an appeal from their decisions to a jury.

On the whole, it is not unlikely that justice was fairly well administered in the Athenian courts. Such, at all events, seems to have been the opinion of the Greek world; and we can hardly suppose that that opinion was without foundation. Some of the drawbacks of the system have been already noted, and they were no doubt considerable. A clever and unscrupulous advocate might have had a better chance at Athens than he would have with us. It is, of course, an immense advantage that a trained lawyer should preside over a court, and sum up the case, and point out to the jury the general principles by which they should be guided. It is probable that the want of this was often felt at Athens, and led occasionally to unfortunate results. Still, we may be sure that the average Athenian was

a man of intelligence, and perfectly open to reason. Practice, too, made him tolerably well acquainted with his country's laws It is the greatest mistake to conceive of Athens as "a fierce democracy" Her citizens were for the most part moderately-cultivated persons, of a tolerant temper, and willing to obey the laws and the constitution A successful Athenian advocate must have come up to a rather high standard, and if his invective was sometimes coarse and offensively personal, it must have been set off by a certain amount of wit, and have been accompanied with acute reasoning

Much of the litigation at Athens arose out of bottomry cases—that is, loans of money on the security of a ship or of its cargo Business of this kind was transacted on a great scale, and as the risk was considerable, the interest charged was high—as much sometimes as thirty per cent There seem to have been endless trickeries connected with it One of Demosthenes' speeches, for instance, was on behalf of two joint lenders who had advanced some money on the security of a wine cargo Two brothers, merchants of Phaselis in Pamphylia, were the borrowers Phaselis, it appears, had a very bad commercial reputation; and there were said to be more actions brought against its traders at Athens than against all the other traders put together In this case Demosthenes' client stated that the borrowers of his money had broken their agreement—"that they had not shipped the stipulated quantity of wine, that they had raised a further loan on the same security, that they had not purchased a sufficient return

cargo, that, on their return, they had not entered the regular port of Athens, but had put into a little obscure harbour known as 'Smugglers' Creek,' and that, when the repayment of the loan was demanded, they falsely represented that the vessel had been wrecked." Before the matter was settled, one of the borrowers died, and his property went to his brother, Lacritus, who, according to the lenders' statement, had verbally engaged to see that the loan should be repaid. So Lacritus was sued for the amount, although very possibly he was not legally liable, and may merely have been a "referee" for his brother, and have stated, as such, that to the best of his belief they were solvent. He was a man of some note, having been a pupil of Isocrates, and being himself a rather celebrated teacher of rhetoric. He was, in fact, what the Greeks called a "sophist." On this he seems to have presumed, and he went about bragging of his connection with "the great Isocrates." Demosthenes makes his client say: "These sophists are 'a bad lot.' It is no affair of mine if a man chooses to be a sophist, and to pay fees to Isocrates; but they must not, because they think themselves clever, be allowed to swindle other people out of their money. Lacritus does not trust to the justice of his case; but he thinks that, as he has learnt oratory, he shall be able to make you think exactly what he pleases. Perhaps, as he is so clever, he will undertake to prove that black is white—that the money was never borrowed at all—or that it has been paid—or that the bond is waste paper—or that the borrowers had a right to use our money as they liked." It is possible, as has

been supposed, that Demosthenes is really hitting at Isocrates in his abuse of Lacritus.

In one of his speeches he argues against the right of a man to take a name already borne by one of his brothers. The case is a rather singular one. Mantitheus, the son of Mantias, brings an action against his half-brother Bœotus for having got himself registered as Mantitheus. Bœotus was the son of Mantias by a mistress, herself an Athenian citizen, and so capable, according to Athenian law, of transmitting citizenship to her offspring. Every citizen's child was enrolled or registered on the citizen-list at an early age, and then again subsequently on reaching manhood. Bœotus received his name on the first of these occasions. Before the second registration had taken place, his father died. Disliking the name, which suggested a familiar Greek proverb, "like a Bœotian hog," he contrived on this second occasion to get himself enrolled under his brother's name of Mantitheus. In this manner the legal designation of the two brothers became the same. It should be noted that at Athens a citizen was described by his own name, by that of his father, and that of his parish or township—Attica being divided into so many townships, or *demes*, as they were called. In a comparatively small community this might not be inconvenient. What, however, Bœotus had done, could hardly fail to lead to confusion. His half-brother, in the speech composed for him by Demosthenes, hints that matters would be all the worse, as Bœotus kept rather questionable company. Unpleasant mistakes, too, as he points out, would probably arise

out of unpaid debts and appearances in the law courts In fact, the son of the lawful wife would often be credited with the scrapes into which the son of the mistress was likely to get himself

"You tiresome Bœotus," says Demosthenes' client, who really seems to have been a much-injured man, "I would wish you, if possible, to renounce all your bad ways, but if that is too much to hope, pray oblige me to this extent· cease to give yourself trouble, cease to harass me with litigation; be content that you have gained a franchise, a property, a father. No one seeks to dispossess you; nor do I. If, as you pretend to be a brother, you act like a brother, people will believe that you are my kinsman But if you plot against me, go to law with me, envy me, slander me, it will be thought that you have intruded into a strange family, and treat the members as if they were alien to you As to me personally, however wrong my father may have been in refusing to acknowledge you, I certainly am innocent. It was not my business to know who were his sons, it was for him to show me whom I was to regard as brothers As long as he forebore to acknowledge you, I held you no kinsman, ever since he acknowledged you, I have regarded you as he did You have had your portion of the inheritance after my father's death, you participate in our religious worship, in our civil rights—no one excludes you from these What would you have? Whoever hears the name will have to ask which of us two are meant, then, if the person means

you, he will reply, 'The one whom Mantias was compelled to adopt.' Do you wish for this?"

We pass to quite a different case. It is a dispute between two neighbouring Attic farmers.* Their holdings were in a hilly part of Attica, and were separated by a public road. It is an action for damages which the plaintiff, Callicles, alleged that he had sustained through the obstruction of a water-course, which carried off the drainage from the surrounding hills. The defendant's father had built a wall on his land, with the view of diverting the water into the road. It seems that in Attica a proprietor might turn off his drainage into a public way, to the great detriment, as may well be supposed, of the country roads, which, in hilly districts, must at times have been almost impassable. The effect of the wall in this case was, that after heavy rains the plaintiff's farm was overflowed, as well as the road. For this the plaintiff brought his action. The defendant, Demosthenes' client, pleaded in justification that the wall in question had been lawfully erected by his father fifteen years ago, that no objection was then raised by the plaintiff's family, that the so-called water-course was not really a water-course, but was part of his own land, as it was planted with fruit-trees, and contained an old family burial-ground. The stream, too, which caused the mischief, did not come to the defendant from a neighbour's farm; it flowed down the road both above and below him; the flood which it occasioned in wet weather was

* Speech against Callicles.

a natural misfortune, from which others had suffered as well as the plaintiff—only, they had never thought of going to law about it. The defendant broadly hints that the plaintiff has an eye to his property, and is trying to oust him from it by a vexatious action. The matter in dispute was trifling enough, and the jury must have been inclined to laugh at the solemnity with which they were implored to give their best attention to all the details of the case. "There is no greater nuisance" (so the defendant begins his pleading) "than a covetous neighbour, which it has been my lot to meet with. Callicles has set his heart on my land, and worries me with litigation. First he got his cousin to claim it from me, but I defeated that claim. I beseech you all to hear me with attention—not because I am any speaker, but that you may learn by the facts how groundless the action is." After he has explained the facts, he asks pathetically what he is to do with the water, if he may not drain it off either into the public road or into private ground. "Surely," he adds, with a touch of bucolic humour, "the plaintiff won't force me to drink it up?" The damage done could not have been very ruinous, if we may judge from a single specimen. It appears that the mothers of the two litigants used to visit each other, as country neighbours, and on one occasion, when the defendant's mother was calling at the plaintiff's house, she found the family plunged in the deepest distress, and apparently crushed by some more than ordinary calamity. It would seem that the rustic mind then, as now, was peculiarly sensitive to the most ludicrously trifling loss, and

delighted in describing it with the most violent exaggeration The injured farmer's wife, on this occasion, pointed with tears to four bushels of barley which had got wet and were being dried, and to a jar of oil, which had indeed fallen down, but which was not damaged For this they wanted to claim, according to the defendant, 1000 drachms, or about £40, by way of compensation. An Attic farmer, it would seem (like his English representative), was not likely to suffer from asking too little There is something very characteristic in the following remark, which Demosthenes' client makes about his opponent "In going to law with me," he says, "I hold the plaintiff to be thoroughly wicked and infatuated"

In another* somewhat interesting case, Demosthenes pleads for an unfortunate man who had been ejected from his township, and was thereby in danger of ceasing to be an Athenian citizen At Athens, citizenship was the subject of the strictest scrutiny, and the registers of the townships were kept with the utmost care Every citizen, as has been already noted, had to be twice registered, and to insure accuracy, and to exclude questionable persons, the lists were from time to time revised Even with all these precautions, cases of disputed citizenship not unfrequently occurred In the case which we are about to consider, Demosthenes' client had been struck off the register of his township on the occasion of a revision The man's father had been taken prisoner during the latter part of the Peloponnesian War, and having lived some years "in for-

* Speech against Eubulides

eign parts," he spoke Attic rather indifferently. However, on his return to Athens, he had resumed his citizenship; and transmitted it, without question, as it is alleged, to his son. He was very poor, and he and his wife had to eke out a livelihood by the humblest of occupations His son, it seems, had made enemies in his parish, and among them one Eubulides, against whom he had given evidence in a court of justice Eubulides, when he became mayor of the township, had the registers revised, and contrived to get the man's name struck off He managed this by a sort of trick The revision of the register took place at Athens, from which the township was about five miles distant A good deal of time was wasted in making speeches and drawing up resolutions, and the case of Demosthenes' client was taken last of all. It was now dark, and all but about thirty members of the township had gone home—and these, it is said, were in the interest of Eubulides When the poor man's name was called, Eubulides started to his feet, assailed him with a volley of abuse, and insisted on a vote of expulsion It was useless to ask for an adjournment, the business was hurried through, and sixty ballot-balls were found in the box against him, though it seems that only thirty townsmen were present The result was utter ruin to the man Loss of citizenship meant social death, and probably slavery He makes through his counsel a piteous appeal to the jury, and says that if their verdict is adverse he shall commit suicide, that he may at least have the satisfaction of being buried by his relatives in his native

country "I have been shamefully treated by this Eubulides"—so he begins, "and I pray you, considering the great importance of the present trial, and the disgrace and ruin which attend conviction, to hear me, as you have my opponent, in silence" Further on in his speech he touches on his poverty, and the humble way in which his family maintain themselves

"We confess that we sell ribbons, and live not in the way we could wish We are so low down in the world that our opponent may go out of his way to abuse us It seems to me that our trafficking in the market-place is the strongest proof of the falsity of this man's charges My mother, he says, sold ribbons in the market-place. Well, if she was an alien, they should have inspected the market tolls, and shown whether she paid the alien's toll, and to what country she belonged If she was a slave, the person who bought her, or the person who sold her, should have been called to give evidence. Then he has said she was a nurse We do not deny she was, in those evil days* when all our people were badly off But you will find many women who are citizens taking children to nurse Of course, if we had been rich, we should not have sold ribbons, or have been at all in distress But what has that to do with my descent? Pray do not scorn the poor (their poverty is a sufficient misfortune for them), much less those who try to get an honest livelihood Poverty compels free men to do many mean and servile acts, for which they deserve to be

* The last years of the Peloponnesian War

pitied rather than to be ruined They tell me that many women, citizens by birth, have become both nurses and wool-dressers and vintagers, owing to the misfortunes of our country at that period I have confidence in my case, and I come as an appellant to your tribunal for protection. I know that the courts of law are more powerful not only than my fellow-townsmen, but even than the Council of the popular Assembly, and justly so—for your verdicts are in every respect most righteous"

He concludes his address to the jury with the threat of suicide already mentioned

One more of these cases must suffice It is an amusing one—an action, as we should say, for assault and battery. There were, it seems, occasional outbursts of rowdyism even at refined Athens, and the police were not always "on the spot" to repress them Some of the "fast" young men about town formed themselves into clubs—like the "Mohock Club" of the last century, whose lawless proceedings are the subject of one of the numbers of the 'Spectator'* "An outrageous ambition (as the 'Spectator' says) of doing all possible hurt to their fellow-creatures was the great cement of their assemblies, and the only qualification required in the members" There was a club at Athens which called itself the Triballi, the name of one of the wildest and most savage tribes of Thrace The members of this delightful fraternity used to commit all manner of horrid and indecent outrages on inoffensive

* No 324

citizens as they were taking the evening air or returning home from parties One Conon and his sons specially distinguished themselves Their victim on one occasion retained Demosthenes for his counsel They had all been on foreign military service together, and it was then that the practical jokes and annoyances were begun of which Demosthenes' client complains Conon and his set would drink all day after lunch, and so by dinner-time they were only fit for drunken frolics "At first," the plaintiff says, "they played tricks on his servants; at last on himself and his party They would pretend that our servants annoyed them with smoke in cooking, and were saucy; then they beat them, and played all sorts of dirty, brutal jokes on them We expressed our disgust, and when they insulted us, we all went in a body to the general, who gave them a severe reprimand" In this manner a very sore feeling grew up, and when they all returned to Athens, the assault took place which was the ground of the action

"When I had got back to Athens," the plaintiff says, "I was taking a walk one evening in the market-place with a friend of my own age, when Ctesias, Conon's son, passed us, very much intoxicated Seeing us, he made an exclamation like a drunken man muttering something indistinctly to himself, and went on his way. There was a drinking-party near, at the house of Pamphilus, the fuller. Conon and many others were there. Ctesias got them to leave the party and go with him

to the market-place We were near the Leocorium" (a small temple) "when we encountered them. As we came up, one of them rushed on my friend and held him. Conon and another tripped up my heels, and threw me into the mud, and jumped on me, and kicked me with such violence that my lip was cut through and my eye closed up In this plight they left me, unable to rise or speak As I lay I heard them use dreadful language, some of which I should be sorry to repeat to you. One thing you shall hear It proves Conon's malice, and that he was the ring-leader in the affair He crowed, mimicking fighting-cocks when they have won a battle, and his companions bade him clap his elbows against his sides, like wings. I was afterwards found by some persons who came that way, and carried home without my cloak, which these men had carried off When they got to the door, my mother and the maid-servants began crying and bewailing I was carried with some difficulty to a bath; they washed me all over, and then showed me to the doctor"

It seems to have struck Demosthenes that possibly some of the jury would be inclined to laugh at this somewhat ludicrously pathetic picture

"Will you laugh," he makes his client say, "and let Conon off, because he says we are a band of merry fellows who, in our adventures and amours, strike and break the neck of any one we please? I trust not None of you would have laughed if you had been present when I was dragged and stripped and kicked, and carried to the home which I had left

strong and well; and my mother rushed out, and the women cried and wailed as if a man had died in the house, so that some of the neighbours sent to ask what was the matter"

Conon and his associates may well have been a terror to peaceable citizens, if we may trust the following little sketch of their proceedings —

"Many of you know the set There's the grey-headed man, who all day long has a solemn frown on his brows, and wears a coarse mantle and single-soled shoes But when they get together, they stick at no wickedness or disgraceful conduct. These are their fine and spirited sayings 'Shan't we bear witness for one another?' 'Doesn't it become friends and comrades?' 'What will he bring against you that you're afraid of?' 'Some men say they saw him beaten?' We'll say, 'You never touched him' 'Stripped of his coat?' We'll say, 'They began' 'His lip was sewed up?' We'll say, 'Your head was broken.' Remember," solemnly adds the plaintiff, "I produce medical evidence, they do not—for they can get no evidence against me but what is furnished by themselves"

It is to be hoped that the jury did not laugh, but were persuaded by Demosthenes to make an example of such offenders Blackguardism could hardly go farther than to rob a man of his cloak, in addition to beating and kicking him The Athenian rowdy, if Conon and [illegible] e fair and average types of the genus, could [illegible] t little mercy.

CONCLUSION.

DEMOSTHENES is one of those men concerning whom, both as a statesman and an orator, there cannot be much difference of opinion. As a statesman, he is unanimously eulogised by modern historians of the first rank—such as Thirlwall, Grote, and Curtius Every one who sees anything to esteem and admire in old Greek life, must esteem and admire Demosthenes His political career was a consistent one He clung to and worked for one idea That idea was a free and independent Greece, of which his own Athens had, morally and intellectually, the right to be head It was not, as we have seen, the view of Isocrates, nor was it afterwards that of the historian Polybius Both these men refused to believe that Greece could any longer be what she had been Both were honest and conscientious thinkers, but we can never have quite the same feeling towards the man who is inclined to despair of a great cause as we have towards him who will persist in hoping against hope It was this which Demosthenes did through life amid many discouragements, and this gives him a moral greatness which we believe posterity will always recognise. Such a man

would be sure in his public speeches to appeal to conscience, to the moral sense, and to a lofty patriotism The appeals may have often fallen dead, but he could not help believing that there was still a spirit in his countrymen which, if rightly invoked, might yet be roused, and stir them to the deeds of their forefathers This was the faith of Demosthenes This it was which made him dislike and distrust even the noble speculations and philosophy of Plato These, he felt—as many an Englishman might have felt—would tend to carry Athenians away from the practical sphere of politics into a shadowy realm of ideas Athens, he thought, ought still to assert her greatness and dignity, and he had something in regard to her of the feeling which Virgil has expressed in the well-known line—

"Tu regere imperio populos, Romane, memento" *

As an orator he has, almost without question, been unrivalled Lord Brougham, in his dissertation on the oratory of the ancients, confidently pronounces this opinion, and we are not aware that there is or has been any dissent from it His eloquence was the joint product of natural genius and elaborate study. Quintilian says, on the whole truly, that Cicero owed more to study, and Demosthenes to nature Still, as we have seen, Demosthenes did his best to perfect his great natural gifts by the most assiduous application His industry was prodigious He left behind him a collection of *exordia*, or introductions to speeches, which it seems that Cicero had by him. He was continually revising his words and phrases. All his

* "Thine, Roman, be the claim to rule the world"

speeches, as far as we know, were the result of careful preparation. His speaking exhibited great varieties His opponent is often scathed with an eloquence not unlike that of the late Lord Derby, when his words were inspired by a strong moral indignation Some of his speeches remind us of the subtle and ingenious reasoning of Mr Gladstone Such is the speech we have noticed, in which he argues for the repeal of the law of Leptines In others, again—the Olynthiac orations especially, and that for the Crown against Æschines—we have passages which recall to our memories the impassioned fervour of some of the most eloquent speeches of Mr Bright There is the same impressive appeal to the human conscience, and to the worth and grandeur of freedom At the same time, he was a most dexterous master of his art James Mill used to point out to his famous son "how, first, Demosthenes said everything important to his purpose at the exact moment when he had brought the minds of his hearers into the state most fitted to receive it, second, how he insinuated, gradually and indirectly, ideas which would have roused opposition if directly presented" Generally, he was a thoroughly successful speaker, winning many a triumph in the Assembly and the law court, and finally discomfiting his able rival. And it must indeed have been an inspiriting recollection to him when he looked back to Chæroneia, where, thanks to his eloquence, Athenians and Thebans fought side by side in the cause of Greece

END OF DEMOSTHENES